

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066**  
**Tel : +91-11-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/003217**

Appellant: Kedar Chand Sharma  
Borada Via Fatehgarh, Teh. Sarwad  
Distt. Ajmer Pin 305412

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer  
Asth. Secretary, RRB, 2010 Nehru Marg, Nr.  
Ambedkar Circle, Ajmer, Rajasthan

Date of Hearing: 29.12.2016  
Dated of Decision: 29.12.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI applications dated 30.05.2015, 27.04.2015, 15.04.2015 seeking information regarding his result in examination held on 27.04.2003 for the post of Gangman Diesel Khalasi which was conducted by the RRB Ajmer and reasons for not declaring result etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 27.06.2015, 21.05.2015, 11.05.2015. The appellant filed first appeal on 03.08.2015 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 03.09.2015. The appellant filed appeal on 07.10.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing.
4. The appellant stated that he has been provided incomplete information. The appellant stated that he has not been provided his marksheet.
5. The respondent stated that the appellant has been informed that result was declared on 24.11.2003 and his name is not in the selected candidates list. The appellant stated that they have only a list in which words are not

very readable. It is difficult to copy them. The appellant stated that sought for record is very old and for such record retention period is 7 years. The copy of circular on record retention period is available on their website. The respondent stated that now they do not recruit for the post of group 'D'. RRC is recruiting for group 'D'. The respondent stated that appellant may inspect the record.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory. However, the appellant should have been informed categorically about the position.

**Decision:**

7. Respondent is directed to allow the inspection to the appellant of the list of successful candidates available with them, within 30 days of this order.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar