

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place,
New Delhi-110066

F. No. CIC/SA/A/2016/001746

Date of Hearing : **14.09.2017**
Date of Decision : **16.10.2017**
Appellant/Complainant : **Parveen Kumar**
Respondent : **PIO, Dte. of Information & Publicity
GNCTD**
Information Commissioner : **Shri Yashovardhan Azad**

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on : 27.11.2015
PIO replied on : 23.12.2015
First Appeal filed on : 11.05.2016
First Appellate Order on : 12.05.2016
2nd Appeal/complaint received on : 21.06.2016

Information sought and background of the case:

Vide RTI application dated 27.11.2015 sought information with regard to release of advertisement against order No. F.16/Advt/DIP/2013-14 dated 19.08.2013 and No.DIP/1303/13-14 to be published on 20.08.2013, under 10 points. PIO vide letter dated 23.12.2015 furnished information with regard to point No. 1 and 2 as available on record. With regard to information for point No. 3 to 10, the appellant was advised to inspect the documents/records. Being dissatisfied, the appellant filed an appeal. The FAA upheld the decision of PIO. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant approached the Commission.

Relevant facts emerging during hearing:

Both the parties are present and heard. The Appellant states to have sought information regarding release of two advertisements from Directorate of Information & Publicity, GNCTD. He states that inspection of record was offered by PIO while he had been insisting in securing precise answers against his queries. Per contra, the PIO states that the advertisements under reference were issued on request of Food Supply Department, GNCTD. He apprises the Commission that the matter of release of the said advertisement

is sub judice. PIO states that the information sought on points 3-10 was scattered and hence inspection of record was offered to the appellant, which was not availed.

Decision:

After hearing parties and perusal of record, the Commission finds the plea adopted by respondent to be unsustainable. A bare perusal of queries enumerated under points 3-10 of the RTI application reveals that most of them can be replied straightway on the basis of record and the insistence of PIO to require appellant to inspect record is thus unfounded. The PIO is directed to furnish complete information against point no 3 to 10 to the appellant under a revised reply within 2 weeks of receipt of this order.

The appeal is allowed.

(Yashovardhan Azad)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(R.P.Grover)
Designated Officer

Copy to:-
