

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/001411

Appellant: K.K. Pyasi,
C/O. R.P. Pyasi, Bangla No.
322, Snehanagar Hanuman Puri,
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh,
(9425821333),
Madhya Pradesh.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
CPIO, Dy. GM (CFA- Rural)
BSNL, O/o. General Manager
Telecom Distt. Jabalpur, MP.

Date of Hearing: 31.07.2017

Dated of Decision: 31.07.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 01.02.2015 seeking information on 7 points regarding: delay in the fixation of his promotion by SDE (A) in time, payment of revised Pension Arrears, payment of his TA Bill on retirement or transport allowances/composite grant and other related issues.
2. The CPIO response is not on record. The appellant filed First Appeal dated 07.04.2015 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 04.04.2016. The appellant filed second appeal on 19.05.2016 before the Commission on the ground that immediate information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant and the respondent participated in the hearing through VC.

4. The appellant stated that the copy of the paid bills, composite grant/ TA on retirement, of officers stated in the RTI application has not been provided to him. The appellant stated that he had informed in writing that his luggage was transported through his own vehicle at the time of his retirement. The appellant stated that composite grant has not been paid to him. The appellant stated that the officers, named in the RTI application, had been given composite grant on the basis of declaration only. The appellant stated that he was unmarried hence he had little luggage. Hence, his household items were transported by his own vehicle.

5. The respondent stated that the sought for information cannot be provided to the appellant being personal information of third party and which is exempted from disclosure under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. The respondent stated that no larger public interest is involved in disclosing the sought for information.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The Commission observed that the vide order CIC/AD/A/2011/000536 dated 14.06.2011, the Commission held that 'the benefits (such as the present one i.e. TA bill) extended to a government servant cannot be construed to be personal to him.'

Decision:

7. The respondent is directed to provide copy of the TA bills of four officers, named in the point no. 4 of the RTI application, within 15 days from receipt of this order.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar