

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/SH/C/2014/000455/KY

Complainant : Shri Rajaram H. Rathi
Plot No. 207, Ward No. 12B
Police Station Road, Gandhidham
Kachchh, Gujarat-370201

Public Authority : The CPIO
Ministry of Heavy Industries & Public Enterprises,
Hindustan Salts Limited
B-427, Pradhan Marg, Malviya Nagar, P.B. No.
146, Jaipur-302017

Date of Hearing : 23.08.2016
Date of Decision : 23.08.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Absent

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **25.03.2014**, the appellant sought information on **1 & 2 (a, b & c) issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **22.04.2014**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) is not on record.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA) order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **03.05.2014**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the complainant, vide his RTI Application dated **25.03.2014**, sought information from the respondents on the issues as contained therein. Respondents vide their response dated **22.04.2014**, provided the required information to

the complainant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, complainant has filed his complaint dated **03.05.2014** before the Commission.

2. Further, on careful perusal of the contents of complainant's complaint dated **03.05.2014**, there appears that in the captioned petition, **no prayer clause**, as provided under the provisions of RTI Act 2005, has been incorporated by the Petitioner. Thus, it is not known to the Commission, as to why petitioner has filed his petition before this Commission. In other words, no relief, as provided under the provisions of RTI Act 2005, has been sought from the Commission in his petition.
3. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.
4. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
Ministry of Heavy Industries & Public Enterprises,
Hindustan Salts Limited
B-427, Pradhan Marg,
Malviya Nagar, P.B. No.146, Jaipur-302017

Shri Rajaram H. Rathi
Plot No. 207, Ward No. 12B
Police Station Road, Gandhidham
Kachchh, Gujarat-370201