

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066**  
**Tel : +91-11-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/000385**

Appellant: Shekh Sharif s/o Shek Imam  
Village & Post- Semri Harchand  
Tehsil- Sohagpur Distt. Hosangabad,  
Madhya Pradesh-461668

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer  
Sr. DPO, Central Rly, RTI Cell, Personnel Branch,  
Nagpur.

Date of Hearing: 20.12.2016

Dated of Decision: 20.12.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 18.10.2013 seeking information on 11 points regarding compassionate appointment on account of his father's death and related issues etc.

2. The CPIO responded on 11.12.2013. The appellant filed first appeal on 05.04.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 12.05.2014. The appellant filed appeal on 02.02.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The respondent participated in the hearing. The appellant did not participate in the hearing.

4. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him. The appellant stated that his father had expired on 27.05.1969 on duty and his legal heirs were minor at that time. The appellant stated that his son is handicapped and a brother had expired in a road accident. The appellant stated that his family is in trouble and he is

running from pillar to post for getting justice. The appellant stated that his mother moved first application for compassionate appointment in the year 1988 but no action was taken by the respondent. The appellant stated that his mother also expired. The appellant stated that there is a provision for compassionate appointment in the Railways after waiting for even 21 year in case of minor legal heirs. The appellant stated that his mother was getting family pension during 1972 to 2000. The respondent has the service record of appellant's father but they are deliberately not providing information and giving him appointment on compassionate grounds and not giving compensation on account of his brother's death.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant has been replied to vide letter dated 11.12.2013 informing that the case is more than 42 years old and records are not available in the office. The respondent stated that PPO was issued to the Bank and on the basis of PPO the appellant's mother was getting pension. The respondent stated that for such record, the record retention period is 5 year. The respondent stated that after the death of the employee, the wife of deceased or legal heirs are to inform the railway about the age of minors. The respondent stated that in case of a minor railway waits upto 18 years for compassionate appointment.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

**Decision:**

7. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

8. The respondent is advised to look into the grievance of the appellant.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar