

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/C/2015/000062

Dated 29.09.2016

Complainant : Smt. Renu Kapoor,
B-9, Second Floor,
Aruna Park, Shakerpur,
Delhi - 110092

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o the DCP,
East District, Mandawali, Fazalpur,
Delhi

Date of Hearing : 29.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 01.07.2015

CPIO's reply : 06.08.2015

FAA's order : 29.09.2015

Second appeal filed on : 26.08.2015

ORDER

1. Smt. Renu Kapoor, filed an application dated 01.07.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), East District, Delhi Police seeking copies of DD entry prepared in Police station on receipt of PCR calls.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 26.08.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO had not provided the information. Hence, requested the Commission to initiate appropriate proceeding under section 20 of RTI act 2005.

Hearing:

3. The complainant Smt. Renu Kapoor was not present despite notice. The respondents Shri Omender Singh, Sub-Inspector, Shri R.S. Pandit, Sub-Inspector and Shri Sri Krishnan, Asst. Sub-Inspector were present in person.
4. The respondent submitted that the information was given to the appellant vide letter dated 06.08.2015. The appellant was requested to obtain the requisite information/documents along with the report of SHO, Preet Vihar forwarded by ACP, Preet Vihar on payment of requisite fee, however, the letter was returned undelivered. The respondent further submitted that the FAA vide his order dated 29.09.2015 while upholding the reply of the CPIO had provided a copy of the same to the appellant. The respondent also submitted that the appellant Smt Renu Kapoor had collected the information/documents pertaining to her RTI application on 05.10.2015.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the respondent and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant. Hence, no further action is required in this matter.
6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer