

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066**  
**Tel : +91-11-26186535**

**Appeal No. CIC/SH/A/2015/000002/CORPB**

Appellant: Sh. Daljit Singh,

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer  
Corporation Bank, Zonal Office, SCO-16,  
Sector-32-A, Ludhiana

Date of Hearing: 28.09.2017

Dated of Decision: 28.09.2017

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 11.12.2012 seeking information that as to whom the cheque book bearing serial number 209101 to 209120 has been issued and on which date, etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 17.01.2013. The appellant filed first appeal dated 16.01.2013 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The response of FAA is not on record. The appellant filed second appeal on 30.12.2014 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. Both the parties participated in the hearing through audio-call.
4. The appellant stated that similar matter has already been heard by the Commission in Case nos. CIC/VS/A/2013/001564/SH dated 13.08.2014 and CIC/SH/A/2016/001468 dated 14.08.2017. He stated that despite Commission's orders, the respondent has not provided complete and correct information to him. The appellant stated that he was informed that the cheque book in question was not issued to him. The appellant submitted

that in a court case filed by him, the respondent in their affidavit dated 03.05.2005 to the Court, stated that the cheque book was issued to him. This affidavit contradicts the reply of the CPIO.

5. The respondent stated that they have received the hearing notice of the Commission only on 27.09.2017 and sought time.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. In view of the above facts and circumstances, the matter is adjourned.

**Decision:**

7. The Deputy Registrar is directed to fix a hearing in the matter after 15 days.

Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

Sd/-  
**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

Sd/-  
**(S.C.Sharma)**  
**Dy. Registrar**

**Adjunct Order dated 18.10.2017.**

**Hearing:**

1. Both the parties participated in the hearing through video conferencing. The appellant was represented by his counsel.
2. The instant matter was earlier heard on 28.9.2017. The matter is listed today for compliance of order dated 28.9.2017.
3. The appellant had sent his written submission dated 4.10.2017 , which is taken on record.
4. The appellant stated that information has not been provided to him by the respondent. The appellant submitted that in a court case filed by him, the respondent in their affidavit dated 03.05.2005 to the Court, stated that the cheque book was issued to him. The appellant stated that he was informed vide letter dated 16.1.2013 that no cheque book was issued to him. This affidavit contradicts the reply of the CPIO. The appellant stated that cheque book issued to him and the entries made in the cheque book register differ from each other. One of the employees had admitted that the cheque book bearing serial no. 209101 to 209120 was not issued to the appellant. The appellant stated that retention period of record is 10 years and the respondent should have the record of cheque book, even then they did not provide him any document related to issue of above said cheque book. The appellant said that respondent has submitted the affidavit in the court wrongly.
5. The respondent stated that record is not available with them, therefore they cannot provide the information. The respondent further stated that in compliance of Commission's direction, they have provided to the appellant an affidavit that record is not available with them. The respondent stated that appellant is repeatedly asking for the same information. The respondent said that they had informed the appellant that record related to issuance of cheque book is not available with them.

**Discussion/Observations:**

6. The respondent should fix responsibility and initiate disciplinary action against the erring employee(s) within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission. Further, the alleged discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant may be reconciled/explained to the appellant in a letter within 15 days.

**Decision:**

7. The respondent is directed to take action as per para 6.

8. The Deputy Register is directed to fix a hearing for compliance after 30 days.

Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(S.C.Sharma)**  
**Dy. Registrar**