

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Complaint No. CIC/YA/C/2016/900197

Complainant: Mrs. Arati Biswas,
R/o. C-1104, Jai Gurudeo Complex,
Plot Nos. 16 to 19 & 21 to 25,
Sector-17, Kamothe, Navi Mumbai-410209
(09821590923)

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Chief Admn. Officer, Deptt. of Atomic Energy,
Heavy Water Board, Vikram Sarabhai Bhawan,
Anushakti Nagar,
Mumbai-400094

Date of Hearing: 01.03.2017

Dated of Decision: 01.03.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The RTI application of the complainant is not on record. From the perusal of the records, it is seen that the complainant sought information on 21 points regarding: whether Sh. R. Shanmugan, presently in SO/H+ grade has got 'masters' qualification; if true, then inform the University name, college name and subject on which the 'masters degree' was obtained by him; whether Sh. Shanmugam was getting stipend during M. Tech study and other related issues.
2. The CPIO responded on 08.03.2016. The complainant filed First Appeal dated 26.04.2016 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The response of FAA is not on record. The complainant filed complaint on 21.06.2016 before the Commission.

Hearing:

3. The respondent participated in the hearing through VC. The complainant was absent.

4. The respondent had sent his written submissions dated 21.02.2017, which is taken on record.

5. The respondent stated that complete information has been furnished to the complainant on 10.02.2016 on her RTI application dated 03.02.2016. The respondent stated that the information regarding immovable property returns filed by Sh. Shanmugam has not been provided to her as it is his personal information and is exempted under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. The respondent stated that the complainant sought information regarding the immovable property returns of Sh. Shanmugam after his retirement.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory, as information has already been provided to the complainant.

Decision:

7. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter. The complaint is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar