

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/YA/A/2016/001810

Appellant: Mrs. Arati Biswas,
R/o. C-1104, Jai Gurudeo Complex,
Plot Nos. 16 to 19 & 21 to 25,
Sector-17, Kamothe, Navi Mumbai-410209
(09821590923)

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Chief Admn. Officer, Deptt. of Atomic Energy,
Heavy Water Board, Vikram Sarabhai Bhawan,
Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai-400094

Date of Hearing: 01.03.2017

Dated of Decision: 01.03.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 15.12.2015 seeking information on 26 points regarding: what was the superannuation date of Sh. A.K. Agarwal, the then OS, in Heavy Water Board, Mumbai; in which month and year, Sh. A.K. Agarwal had actually retired/demitted office, after extension of his service tenure; for how much period the service of Sh. A.K. Agarwal was extended; what were the projects/modification jobs or capital schemes with which Sh. A.K. Agarwal was involved during his extended service tenure, and other related issues.

2. The CPIO responded on 10.02.2016. The appellant filed First Appeal dated 23.02.2016 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 16.03.2016. The appellant filed second appeal on 06.06.2016 before the Commission on the ground that information has been denied to her and appropriate action should be taken against the erring officials.

Hearing:

3. The respondent participated in the hearing through VC. The appellant was absent.

4. The respondent had sent his written submissions dated 23.02.2017, which is taken on record.

5. The respondent stated that complete information has been furnished to the appellant on 10.02.2016 on her RTI application dated 15.12.2015. The respondent stated that the information regarding immovable property returns filed by Sh. A.K. Agarwal has not been provided to her as it is his personal information and is exempted under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. The respondent stated that the appellant sought information regarding the immovable property returns of Sh. A.K. Agarwal after his retirement.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory, as information has already been provided to the appellant.

Decision:

7. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar