

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place,  
New Delhi-110066

F. No. **CIC/YA/C/2015/000083**  
**CIC/YA/C/2015/000137**

Date of Decision : **26.10.2016**

Appellant/Complainant : **Shri Deepak Kumar, Delhi**

Respondent : CPIO, H L L Lifecare Limited,  
NOIDA(U.P.)  
Through:  
Mr. K Raghavan, Consultant  
Mr. Tushar Sharma, AM(Legal)  
Mr. A. Chopra, DM(Legal)

**Information Commissioner : Shri Yashovardhan Azad**

**Since common parties are involved in the present appeals, they are being clubbed together for hearing and disposal to avoid multiplicity of the proceedings.**

**Relevant facts emerging from appeal:**

Case No.	RTI filed on	CPIO reply	First appeal filed	FAA order	2 <sup>nd</sup> appeal filed on
<b>0083</b>	<b>20.12.2014</b>	<b>No reply</b>	<b>Did not file FA</b>	<b>No order passed</b>	<b>19.02.2015</b>
<b>0137</b>	<b>03.11.2014</b>	<b>02.01.2015</b>	<b>04.02.2015</b>	<b>No order passed</b>	<b>13.03.2015</b>

**CIC/YA/C/2015/000083**

The complainant vide RTI application dated 20.12.2014 sought permission to inspect the records related to tenders in NOIDA Office. CPIO did not respond to the RTI application. Feeling aggrieved the appellant approached the Commission.

**CIC/YA/C/2015/000137**

The complainant filed an RTI application dated 03.11.2014 sought multifaceted information under 18 points regarding tender floated by HLL for equipment for SAARC Countries. CPIO vide letter dated 02.01.2015 called upon the complainant for physical verification of the documents. The complainant preferred first appeal but the same remained unheard. Feeling aggrieved the complainant approached the Commission.

**Relevant facts emerging during hearing:**

The complainant chose to remain absent despite notice. The respondents are present and heard. The respondent states that point wise information, as available on record, has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 28.11.2014. Documents have been placed on record by the Respondent indicating that the Complainant visited the HLL Noida office and duly carried out the inspection on 29.01.2015.

**Decision:**

In view of the facts of the case at hand, the Commission is of the opinion that the RTI applications have been dealt with appropriately and no further action is called for at this stage. The Commission, however, cautions the respondents to be careful in future while disseminating information and allowing inspection of files by strictly adhering to the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 and extant rules/procedures of RTI Act, 2005.

The complaints are disposed of accordingly.

**(Yashovardhan Azad)**  
**Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

**(R.P.Grover)**  
**Designated Officer**

Copy to:-

**Central Public Information Officer**  
**Nodal Officer –RTI Cell,**  
**H. L. L. Lifecare Limited,**  
**B-14A, Sector-62,**  
**NOIDA-201307 (U.P.).**

**First Appellate Authority under RTI**  
**Director,**  
**H. L. L. Lifecare Limited,**  
**B-14A, Sector-62,**  
**NOIDA-201307 (U.P.).**

**Shri Deepak Kumar**  
**House No. – B-640,**  
**G. D. Colony, Mayur Vihar Phase-3,**  
**Delhi-110096.**

