

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/000081

Appellant: Shyam Lal
S/o Sh. Mangilal Kumaro Ka Bas, Teh- Bilada,
Distt. Jodhpur-342602, Rajasthan

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Sr. Supdt., Deptt. Of Posts, O/o Sr. Supdt. Of Post
Offices, HQ, IInd Floor, Rly Station Road, Jodhpur
Divn. Jodhpur-342001

Date of Hearing: 12.04.2017
Dated of Decision: 12.04.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 12.10.2015 seeking information with regard to maturity amount of his father's policy no. 2200461896 etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 19.10.2015. The appellant filed first appeal dated 28.10.2015 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 30.11.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 04.01.2016 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant and the respondent participated in the hearing through VC.
4. The appellant stated that the respondent did not provide the sought for information in response to his RTI application and first appeal. The respondent stated that he has been provided the information after approaching the Commission. The appellant stated that amount deducted from the maturity amount should be refunded to him. The appellant stated

that he is pursuing the case before Consumer Forum for getting deducted amount.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant had been replied to vide letter dated 19.10.2015 that the sought for query is the matter of inquiry and he will be informed later. The respondent stated that after completion of the inquiry the appellant has been informed vide letter dated 19.01.2016 that the excess payment was made due to clerical mistake. Hence, the excess amount was deducted from his maturity claim.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The information has been received by the appellant. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application are satisfactory.

Decision:

7. The Commission's intervention is not required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar