

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SS/C/2013/000081/SB

Dated 04.10.2016

Complainant : Shri Kuldeep Singh,
454/19. Preet Vihar,
Sonipat,
Haryana-131001.

Respondent : Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o ADCP-cum-PIO
North District,
Civil Lines,
Delhi-110 054.

Date of Hearing : 04.10.2016

ORDER

1. Shri Kuldeep Singh filed a complaint dated 04.01.2013 application dated 28.08.2014 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Information Commission (CIC) stating that the respondent did not comply with the Order No. CIC/SS/C/2012/000441 dated 20.11.2012 of the Commission whereby the CPIO had been directed to provide the complete Roznamcha for 06.02.2010 from 09.00 AM to 11.00 PM to him and in case the CPIO considers it necessary, he may delete the sensitive non-disclosable information from the Roznamcha by applying the provisions of severability as contained in Section 10 of the RTI Act and provide the information to the appellant within seven days of receipt of this Order. The Commission,

however, reiterated that copy of the Roznamcha for 06.02.2010 is to be provided in such a manner to the appellant that shows the complete movement of ASI Azad Singh on 06.02.2010. The complainant states that the respondents of Delhi Police have not provided complete movement of ASI Azad Singh on 06.02.2012.

Hearing:

2. The complainant Shri Kuldeep Singh was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri Sushil Tyagi, ACP, Delhi Police was present in person.

3. The respondent submitted that the commission had vide order dated 20.11.2012 had directed the CPIO to provide complete Roznamcha for 06.02.2010 from 09:00 AM to 11:00 PM, if necessary, by deleting the sensitive non disclosable information from the same. The respondent further submitted that in compliance with the directions of the Commission complete Roznamcha, without making any deletion, was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 13.12.2012.

Decision:

4. The Commission, after hearing submissions of both the parties and perusing the records, observes that the directions of the Commission have been complied with, hence, no further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

5. With the above observations, the complaint is disposed of.

6. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer