

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place,  
New Delhi-110066

F. No. **CIC/YA/C/2016/000076**

Date of Hearing : **19.01.2017**  
Date of Decision : **19.01.2017**  
Appellant/Complainant : **Shri Anil Bhardwaj, Delhi**  
Respondent : CPIO, North Delhi Municipal Corporation, Delhi  
Through: Mr. S K Goyal, AE(B), Narela  
**Information Commissioner : Shri Yashovardhan Azad**

**Relevant facts emerging from appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 24.11.2015  
PIO replied on : 10.12.2015  
First Appeal filed on : --  
First Appellate Order on : --  
2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal/complaint received on : 08.02.2016

**Information sought and background of the case:**

The complainant vide RTI application dated 24.11.2015 sought information under 9 points regarding status of his complaint sent through email in the office of LG/CM/MCD Narela Zone/ SDM Kanajhawala, Delhi on 4<sup>th</sup> Nov. 2015 in respect of illegal new unauthorized construction of residence in market Shop No-8 & 9.

CPIO, Narela Zone vide letter dated 10.12.2015 transferred the RTI application to PIO/EE(B), NRZ. Feeling aggrieved the complainant approached the Commission.

**Relevant facts emerging during hearing:**

The complainant has not appeared during the hearing. Respondents arrived later than the stipulated time and submitted a document dated 18.12.2015 sent by the O/o Executive Engineer(B)/Narela indicating that parawise information as available had been provided.

**Decision:**

Upon perusal of the records of the case, the Commission is not satisfied with the response furnished by the Respondent. The response is vague, inadequate and clearly provided after expiry of the stipulated period of time with justification for such delay. This is an example of inappropriate handling of the RTI application wherein a basic query about a complaint pertaining to unauthorised construction has been sought by the information seeker. The deficient and inadequate handling of the RTI matters at the hands of the Respondent, in this case leaves much scope of improvement. Hence the Commission directs the FAA/SE/Narela Zone to conduct an Enquiry in the matter as to why complete and accurate information was not furnished within the stipulated period of time by the concerned officers. The responsibility of the errant official to be affixed and the Enquiry Report should be submitted before the Commission within two weeks of receipt of this order.

Though the case has been filed as a Complaint, in view of the plea of the applicant, the Commission deems it appropriate to convert this case into an Appeal and directs the current PIO to furnish complete information to the applicant within a week of receipt of this order, with a copy mandatorily marked to the Commission.

The case is disposed of on the above terms.

**(Yashovardhan Azad)**  
**Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

**(R.P.Grover)**  
**Designated Officer**

Copy to:-

<b>CPIO:</b> <b>Executive Engineer-(Build.) /</b> <b>Narela Zone, North Delhi Municipal</b> <b>Corporation, Building Department,</b> <b>Narela Zone, Narela, Delhi-110040.</b>	<b>First Appellate Authority under RTI</b> <b>Superintending Engineer / Narela</b> <b>Zone, North Delhi Municipal</b> <b>Corporation, Narela Zone, Zonal</b> <b>Office Building, Narela, Delhi-</b> <b>110040.</b>
<b>Assistant Commissioner – Narela</b> <b>Zone, North Delhi Municipal</b> <b>Corporation, Narela Zone, Zonal</b> <b>Office Building, Narela, Delhi-</b> <b>110040.</b>	<b>ANIL BHARDWAJ</b> <b>House No. : A-21, SCHOOL BLOCK,</b> <b>JAIN NAGAR, KARALA, DELHI-</b> <b>110081.</b>

