

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Complaint No. CIC/BS/C/2015/000075

Complainant: P Anandan,
C-13/10, TNHB Colony,
West Ponnagaram, 5th Street,
Madurai, Tamilnadu-625016
Pasumalai, Madurai,
Tamilnadu-625016.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Dy. G.M. (HR), BSNL,
O/o General Manager,
2, Rathinasamy Road,
Bibikulam, Madurai-625002.

Date of Hearing: 27.03.2017

Dated of Decision: 27.03.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The complainant filed RTI application dated 04.04.2014 seeking information on 9 points regarding construction of BSNL Mobile Towers at Door no. 60, Kumaran Nagar, SVP Nagar Extension, S. Alangulam, Madurai viz. (i) Extent of pvt. land (sq.ft.) required, (ii) Who is the owner of the pvt. land/ construction site for a Mobile Tower as fixed by BSNL, (iii) Who has given the consent letter, (iv) Which are the documents to prove ownership of the construction site, (v) Approving authority, (vi) Rent fixed for the vacant site to construct BSNL Mobile Tower etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 29.05.2014. The complainant filed first appeal dated 19.06.2014. The FAA response is not on record. The complainant filed a complaint on 12.12.2014 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. Both the parties participated in the hearing through video conferencing.

4. The complainant stated that he has received the specific information as sought but he is still not satisfied with the action taken by the respondent against the official who gave permission to construct the mobile tower on the encroached land.

5. The complainant further stated that he is satisfied with the reply given by the respondent.

6. The respondent stated that they have provided the complete information to the complainant and he has also been intimated about the departmental action taken against the concerned person.

7. The respondent further stated that the only grievance of the complainant is not being satisfied with the departmental action, which is beyond this RTI application.

Discussion/ observation:

8. The sought for information has been provided to the complainant.

9. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

10. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The complaint is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar