

**Central Information Commission, New Delhi**  
**File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000074**  
**Right to Information Act-2005-Under Section (19)**

**Date of hearing** : 9th March 2017

**Date of decision** : 9th March 2017

**Name of the Appellant** : SHRI RAM KUMAR

**Name of the Public Authority/Respondent** : CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER,  
CANARA BANK  
CIRCLE OFFICE, 71, NEHRU  
NAGAR, M. G. ROAD, AGRA,  
UP-282 002

**RTI Application filed on** : 26/10/2014

**CPIO replied on** : 15/04/2015

**First Appeal filed on** : 26/05/2015

**First Appellate Authority order on** : —

**2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal received on** : 23/12/2015

The Appellant was present at the NIC Studio, Agra.

On behalf of the Respondents, Shri S. C. Kulshreshtha Divisional Manager was present at the NIC Studio, Agra.

**Information Commissioner** : Shri Sharat Sabharwal

**Information sought**

This matter concerns an RTI application filed by the Appellant, seeking information on six points regarding action taken on his loan application under the scheme of Prime Minister's Employment Generation Program (PMEGP), details of the beneficiaries under PMEGP, copies of guidelines issued by the Government in this regard and related issues.

## **The CPIO reply**

The CPIO gave a point wise reply, but denied the information on point no. 4 (details of third party borrowers) under section 8 (1) (e) & (j) of the RTI Act.

## **Grounds of the First Appeal**

Not satisfied with the CPIO's reply.

## **Order of the First Appellate Authority**

No order passed.

## **Grounds of the Second Appeal**

Complete and clear information not provided.

## **Relevant facts emerging during the Hearing, Discussion and Decision**

The Appellant wanted the information in response to his RTI application dated 26.10.2014. The Respondents stated that this application was regarding the Appellant's request for a loan and the same matter was considered by the Commission, in the context of another application dated 26.3.2015 filed by him, vide order No. CIC/SH/A/2015/001774 dated 23.11.2016. They further submitted that the direction given in paragraph No. 2 of the above order, regarding the reasons for rejection of the loan application of the Appellant, was complied with on 13.12.2016.

2. We have considered the submissions of both the parties and it appears that the Appellant has filed more than one applications, seeking more or less the same information as he is aggrieved with the rejection of his loan application by the bank. Since the matter was dealt with in our order dated 23.11.2016 mentioned above, we

do not consider it necessary to direct furnishing of any further information in response to the RTI application dated 26.10.2014, under consideration in this order.

3. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
4. Copies of this order be given free of cost to the parties.

Sd/-  
**(Sharat Sabharwal)**  
**Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

**(Vijay Bhalla)**  
**Deputy Registrar**

