

Central Information Commission, New Delhi
File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000062
Right to Information Act-2005-Under Section (19)

Date of hearing : 19th January 2017

Date of decision : 19th January 2017

Name of the Appellant : **SHRI BISNU BISWAS**
R/O: CHOULDHARI, WARD NO -5,
BACHRA PAHAR , FERRARUGUNJ,
SOUTH ANDAMAN, PORT BLAIR- 744103

Name of the Public Authority/Respondent : **CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER,**
PIO/ THE COLLECTOR LAND
ACQUISITION/AC(SA)
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
SOUTH ANDAMAN, PORT BLAIR

RTI Application filed on : 31/08/2015

CPIO replied on : 09/09/2015

First Appeal filed on : 05/10/2015

First Appellate Authority order on : 02/12/2015

2nd Appeal received on : 05/02/2016

The Appellant was not present.

On behalf of the Respondents, Shri Sunil Kumar, APIO was present at the NIC Studio, Port Blair.

Information Commissioner : **Shri Sharat Sabharwal**

Information sought

Certified copy of OBC certificate supporting documents like Patwari's report, Community Certificate and Revenue case file vide case No. TFG/OBC/467 dated 15.9.2006. When that certificate made by the Revenue Officials or Dealing Assistant in respect of K. Nizamuddin S/O - Late K. Mohammed, R/o- Wimberlygunj.

The CPIO reply

The CPIO, Additional District Magistrate, vide letter dated 9.9.2015 transferred the RTI application to the PIO, Deputy Commissioner's Office, South Andaman.

Grounds of the First Appeal

No information provided.

Order of the First Appellate Authority

The FAA directed the CPIO APIO/Tehsildar Ferrargunj to provide the information as per the RTI Act within seven days from the receipt of the order, free of cost.

Grounds of the Second Appeal

Non compliance with the order of the FAA.

Relevant facts emerging during the Hearing, Discussion and Decision

The Respondents stated that the information as directed by the FAA was provided to the Appellant on 4.1.2017. The Appellant was not present, in spite of a written notice having been sent to him, to plead his case or point out any shortcoming(s) in the information provided to him.

2. In view of the foregoing, intervention by the Commission is not considered necessary in this case.
3. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
4. Copies of this order be given free of cost to the parties.

Sd/-
(Sharat Sabharwal)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(Vijay Bhalla)
Deputy Registrar