

Central Information Commission, New Delhi
File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/900059
Right to Information Act-2005-Under Section (19)

Date of hearing : 12th January 2017

Date of decision : 12th January 2017

Name of the Appellant : SHRI ASHISH KUMAR
GAIL INDIA LIMITED
VILLAGE-JAROLI KALAN,
RAJA KA TAAL
FIROZABAD, UTTAR PRADESH - 283203

Name of the Public Authority/Respondent : CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER,
GAIL INDIA LIMITED
GAIL BHAWAN, 16, BHIKAIJI CAMA
PLACE, NEW DELHI- 110066

RTI Application filed on : 1.05/06/2015, 2.25/08/2015

CPIO replied on : 1.30/07/2015, 2.27/08/2015

First Appeal filed on : 14/09/2015

First Appellate Authority order on : 21/09/2015

2nd Appeal received on : 30/05/2016

The Appellant was present at the NIC Studio, Firozabad.

On behalf of the Respondents, Shri B. M. Solanki, CPIO was present in person.

Information Commissioner : Shri Sharat Sabharwal

Information sought

This matter concerns an RTI application dated 5.6.2015 filed by the Appellant, seeking information on three points regarding selection of candidates for the post of ET (Electrical) in E1 grade and related issues. On the CPIO informing him that the information was not available, the Appellant filed another application dated 25.8.2015, seeking information on five points regarding disposal of the records in question.

The CPIO reply

The CPIO, vide letter dated 30.7.2015, denied the information in respect of the RTI application dated 5.6.2015, stating that it was not available in records of the

Respondents. With regard to the RTI application dated 25.8.2015, he advised the Appellant to seek information keeping in view section 2 (f) of the RTI Act.

Grounds of the First Appeal

Not satisfied with the CPIO's replies.

Order of the First Appellate Authority

The FAA upheld the CPIO's replies.

Grounds of the Second Appeal

Not satisfied with the response of the Respondents.

Relevant facts emerging during the Hearing, Discussion and Decision

The Appellant stated that he had sought information on three points regarding sanctioned vacancies and creation / sanctioning of vacancies etc. However, the CPIO informed him that the information was not available on records. The representative of the Respondents stated that the relevant file has now been traced out. Therefore, the CPIO is directed to provide to the Appellant information in response to all the three points of the RTI application dated 5.6.2015, within fifteen days of the receipt of this order, under intimation to the Commission. The information should be provided free of charge.

2. With the above directions and observations, the appeal is disposed of.

3. Copies of this order be given free of cost to the parties.

Sd/-
(Sharat Sabharwal)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(Vijay Bhalla)
Deputy Registrar