

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,

Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110067

Tel : +91-11-26717355

Complaint No. CIC/SS/C/2014/900058

Complainant: Shri Maniram Sharma,
R/o Nakul Niwas,
Behind Roadways Depot,
Sardarshahar-331403-7,
Distt. Churu, Rajasthan.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer/Registrar,
Gujarat High Court, Ahmedabad-380060.

Date of Hearing: 24.08.2016

Date of Decision: 24.08.2016

ORDER

Facts:

1. The complainant filed RTI application dated 16.11.2013 through e-mails seeking copy of Notes prepared on his complaints dated 14.11.2013, 12.10.2013, 11.11.2013, 02.11.2013, 06.10.2013 and tentative date of full compliance with DOPT OM No. 1/6/2011-IR dated 15.04.2013 regarding suo motu disclosure. The response of CPIO is not on record. The complainant filed a complaint on 28.11.2013 with the Commission.
2. The respondent vide letter 6472/2016 dated 04.08.2016 has submitted their written submissions stating that they have not received the aforesaid RTI application of the complainant.

Hearing:

3. The respondent and the complainant did not participate in the hearing.

4. From the perusal of the record , it appears that the respondent has sent written submission through emails dated 06.08.2016 to this Commission stating that they have inquired about complainant's RTI application dated 16.11.2013 and it is found that there was neither any email dated 16.11.2013 nor any hard copy received from the complainant. The respondent further submitted that the High Court has already uploaded all the details regarding proactive disclosure as per section 4(1) of the RTI Act on its website i.e. gujarathighcourt.nic.in.

Observations:

5. It is observed that the complainant has filed large number of appeals/complaints with different public authorities. He never attends the hearing.

6. In absence of the communication/email sent by the complainant, it was not possible for the respondent to reply such communication/emails. However, respondent was under obligation to attend the hearing.

Decision:

7. Commission's intervention is not required in the matter.

8. CPIO may show cause why action should not be taken against the respondent for trying to deny the information by not attending the hearing post for hearing after 30 days.

The complaint is disposed of. Copy of the decision be given free of cost to the parties.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy
(S. C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar