

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/00055

Dated 21.09.2016

Appellant : Ms. Gayatri Kumari,
Vasant Vihar, Sarsila Road, PO. Vetiya
Distt. West Champaran,
Bihar, 845438

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Central Bureau of Investigation,
O/o the HoB, ACB,
Dr. S.K. Singh Path Bailey Road,
Patna, 800022

Date of Hearing : 21.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 10.03.2015

CPIO's reply : 30.04.2015

First appeal filed on : 21.05.2015

Second Appeal filed on : 17.06.2015

ORDER

1. Ms. Gayatri Kumari filed an application dated 10.03.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI), seeking information on three points namely (1) what necessary action has been taken on the reminder letter dated 04.03.2015 of Appellant (2) provisions under which CBI can take necessary action against corruption (3) copy of Supreme Court's order and the

Prime Minister's order stating that CBI can take action without permission of court or government for corruption.

2. The appellant filed the second appeal dated 17.06.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that he has been provided incomplete and misleading information by the CPIO and the First Appellant Authority (FAA) has also not provided any information.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Ms. Gayatri Kumari was represented by Shri Vidyanand Sukhla who attended the hearing through audio conferencing. The respondent Shri Daljeet Singh, Superintendent of Police, CBI, Patna attended the hearing through video conferencing.

4. The appellant submitted that she had sought attested copy of order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and the Prime Minister's order stating that the CBI can take action without permission of court or the Government in cases of corruption. However, no information has been provided to her by the respondent.

5. The respondent submitted that the appellant was informed vide letter dated 30.04.2015 that the information sought could be obtained on payment of Rs. 2/- as IPO or Demand Draft in favour of CPIO/DDO, CBI, ACB, Patna. However, the same was not deposited by the appellant.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing both the parties and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

7. With the above observation, the appeal is disposed of.
8. Copy of decision be given free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer