

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,

Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110067

Tel : +91-11-26717355

Complaint No. CIC/SA/C/2014/900054

Complainant:

Shri Maniram Sharma,
R/o Nakul Niwas,
Behind Roadways Depot,
Sardarshahar-331403-7,
Distt. Churu, Rajasthan.

Respondent:

Central Public Information Officer/Registrar,
Legal Services Committee, Punjab & Haryana
High Court, Chandigarh-160001.

Date of Hearing:

24.08.2016

Date of Decision:

24.08.2016

ORDER

Facts:

1. The complainant filed RTI application dated 24.11.2013 seeking information on 28 points regarding compliance of provisions of suo motu disclosure under the RTI Act, 2005 such as tentative date for total compliance, Rules/regulations governing the appointment of judges, process of complaints against Judges & other officials including disciplinary action, action taken on Gazette Notification No. I/20012/07/2005-O.L. (Policy-1) dated 02.07.2008, Copy of quarterly progress report of use of Hindi in terms of O.M. 12021/1/92-OL[B-2] dated 16.04.1993, tentative date of full compliance with OM No. 1/6/2011-IR dated 15.04.2013, copy of all advocate bills paid/sanction letters and details of TDS deducted on such bills during FY-2012-13, copy of Income and Expenditure account for 2012-13.

2. The CPIO responded on 05.12.2013. The First Appellate Authority (FAA) responded on 17.12.2013. The complainant filed complaint on 08.01.2014 before the Commission on the ground that no information was provided to the complainant.

Hearing:

3. The respondent participated in the hearing. The complainant did not participate in the hearing.

4. The respondent that the complainant vide reply dated 05.12.2013 was intimated that his application cannot be entertained as he had sent IPO of Rs. 10 which was less than prescribed under the High Court of Punjab & Haryana (Right to information)Rules 2007. The complainant was advised that in future he should send an application under the Act by affixing adhesive Court fee stamp of Rs. 50/- or in the form of Postal Order/Demand Draft/Banker's Cheque of Rs.50/- in favour of Registrar, Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.

Observations:

5. It is observed that the complainant has filed large number of appeals/complaints with different public authorities. He never attends the hearing.

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

7. Commission's intervention is not required in the matter.

The complaint is disposed of. Copy of the decision be given free of cost to the parties.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy
(S. C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar