

Central Information Commission, New Delhi

File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000051

File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000052

File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000053

File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000054

File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000056

File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000057

Right to Information Act-2005-Under Section (19)

Date of hearing : 16th January 2017

Date of decision : 16th January 2017

Name of the Appellant :1. SHRI MEHBOOB AHMED
S/O – SHRI ABDUL REHMAN
VILL.+PO KARHI, THANA DUDHARA,
DISTT - SANTKABIR NAGAR
UTTAR PRADESH – 272002

2. SHRI ABDUL MABOOD
VILL+PO KARHI,
DIST. - SANTKABIR NAGAR
UTTAR PRADESH - 272002

3. SMT. RUKHSANA KHATUN
VILL. +PO KARHI,
P.S.- DUDHARA,
DIST.SANTKABIR NAGAR
UTTAR PRADESH – 272002

4. SHRI ABDUL AVVAL
VILL.+PO KARHI , DIST. SANTKABIR,
UTTAR PRADESH - 272002

5. SHRI VALIULAAH
S/O – SHRI MAJIBULLAH
VILL KARAH , DIST. SANTKABIR NAGAR
UTTAR PRADESH,272002

6. SHRI FAJRUL RAHMAN
S/O- SHRI HASAN RAJA
VILLAGE KARAH , DIST. SANTKABIR NAGAR
UTTAR PRADESH - 272002

Name of the Public Authority/Respondent : CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER,
IDBI BANK LTD
1/13/330, PUSHRAJ GUEST
HOUSE, CIVIL LINEX, FAIZABAD,UP

The Appellants were not present.

On behalf of the Respondents, Ms. Deepika Chohan, GM and CPIO was present in person.

Information Commissioner : Shri Sharat Sabharwal

These files contain six RTI applications, all dated 6.8.2015, filed by the Appellants mentioned above, seeking information regarding certain accounts, allegedly opened with their identity. The Appellants filed appeals to the Commission in all the cases, stating that the information was not provided to them.

2. The Appellants were not present in spite of a written notice having been sent to them.

3. The Respondents submitted that the above RTI applications were received in their branch on 8.8.2015 and in the office of the CPIO on 21.8.2015. On 9.9.2015, all the Appellants submitted a letter to the CPIO at Faizabad, stating that they were satisfied with the information provided to them and were withdrawing their RTI applications. The representative of the Respondents further submitted that no appeals to the First Appellate Authority were received in their office concerned.

4. Taking into account the above submissions of the Respondents, intervention by the Commission is not considered necessary in these cases.

5. With the above observations, the six appeals are disposed of.

6. Copies of this order be given free of cost to the parties.

**Sd/-
(Sharat Sabharwal)
Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

**(Vijay Bhalla)
Deputy Registrar**