

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/000047

Appellant: Inderjeet Kumar,
Village Raimelpur, Post- Sonavan,
Distt.-Ambedkar Nagar, U.P.-224157.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer,
Sr. Manager (Co-ord), MTNL,
Mahanagar Doorsanchar Sadan,
5th Floor, 9, CGO Complex,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003.

Date of Hearing: 20.04.2017

Dated of Decision: 20.04.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 18.02.2015 seeking information on 6 points as to whether dependent of a deceased government employee of the MTNL can be considered for the compassionate appointment viz. (i) Dependents entitled for compassionate appointment, (ii) Within how many days from the death of the employee, an application for compassionate appointment can be made by the dependent, (iii) Whether dependent of a labour appointed and serving on regular basis at the time of death can be appointed to the post of a regular labour or to a post according to his educational qualification, (iv) Whether dependent had a right to compassionate appointment in the year 2007 etc.

2. The CPIO responded on 27.04.2015. The appellant filed first appeal dated 15.05.2015. The FAA responded on 01.06.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 01.01.2016 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant participated in the hearing through video conferencing. The respondent participated in the hearing in person.

4. The appellant stated that the respondent has not furnished him the specific reply except on point no. 1 relating to the interpretation of 'dependants' to be considered for compassionate appointment.

5. The respondent stated that the scheme for compassionate appointment has been abolished since the year 2004 and the matter has been communicated to the appellant. He further stated that the reply sent to the appellant covers all the points of the RTI query.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The sought for information has been provided to the appellant.

7. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

8. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar