

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/CC/A/2015/004401/SB**

**Dated 02.09.2016**

**Appellant** : Shri Mukesh Gaur,  
F/O Ms. Pooja Gaur,  
23/7, Shanti Vihar Colony,  
Near Telephone Exchange,  
Govindgarh, Dehradun,  
Uttarakhand-248001.

**Respondent** : Central Public Information Officer,  
Staff Selection Commission,  
Block No.12, CGO Complex,  
Lodhi Road,  
New Delhi-110003.

**Date of Hearing** : 02.09.2016

**Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 30.03.2015

CPIO's reply : 18.05.2015

First appeal filed on : 11.05.2015

Second appeal filed on : 05.06.2015

**ORDER**

1. Shri Mukesh Gaur filed an application dated 30.03.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Staff Selection Commission (SSC) seeking information on five points, including (i) on what basis or grounds was the recommendation of Ms.Pooja

---

Gaur (Roll No.2002004214) removed from the revised result while it was present in the Final Result list published on 12.03.2015 and (ii) what kind of system error and what discrepancy was involved in this case, if any, that led to the removal of recommendation of Ms. Pooja Gaur (Roll No.2002004214) from the revised list.

**2.** The appellant filed a second appeal dated 05.06.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO, SSC has not provided the information sought by him and that this is a grave incidence when a published result has been modified to remove the name of the candidate Ms. Pooja Gaur, which only reflects certain misappropriation at the end of SSC who has so suspiciously changed the result without any prior information and without any adequate reasons.

**Hearing:**

**3.** The appellant Shri Mukesh Gaur and the respondent Shri R. Thakur, Under Secretary and CPIO, SSC were present in person.

**4.** The appellant submitted that complete information to the queries under the RTI application was not provided to him. The appellant further submitted that the respondent merely informed that the result, cut-off marks, marks of last selected candidates and marks of all qualified/non-qualified candidates (including those who have been awarded zero marks) is available on the SSC website and queries of the RTI application were left unattended.

**5.** The respondent submitted that a standard reply was given to the appellant vide letter dated 18.05.2015. however, the queries of the appellant would be revisited and fresh reply would be provided to him.

**Decision:**

6. The Commission, after hearing both the parties and perusing the records, directs the respondent to provide point wise specific reply to the appellant within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this decision.
7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**