

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110067**  
**Tel : +91-11-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/CC/A/2015/003638**

Appellant: Mr. R S Mishra  
R/o. S-93, New Palam Vihar, Phase-I, Gurgaon-  
122017, Haryana, (9971420732).

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer/Addl. Registrar,  
Supreme Court of India, Tilak Marg, New Delhi.

Date of Hearing: 14.9.2016  
Dated of Decision: 14.09.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 27.10.2014 seeking information on 4 points with regard to the Law/Rules justifying the disposal of IA bearing No. 110216/2013, 15952/2014, without registering/listing it separately depriving the applicant from fundamental right under the Constitution of India etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 24.11.2014. The appellant filed first appeal on 24.11.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 09.01.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 08.05.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing personally.
4. The appellant stated that he has been provided incorrect information. The appellant stated that all the officers of the Supreme Court have a tendency to support each other without application of their wisdom. The appellant stated that every officer is either influenced by the superiors or Senior Counsels. The appellant stated that the Judges openly favour and

hear the Senior Counsels. The appellant stated that the party-in –person are treated like accused of serious crime. The appellant stated that the party –in- person are not allowed to appear before the Court without police personnel.

5. The appellant stated that his letter petition was lodged/filed without assigning any solid reasons. The appellant stated that the Supreme Court does not want to hear the cases represented by party- in- person.

6. The respondent stated that the appellant was vide letter dated 24.11.2014, was provided pointwise reply to his RTI application. The respondent stated that the appellant was informed that D.No.110216/2013, D.No.15952/2014 and D.NO.36847/2013 were placed and listed before the Hon'ble Court on 07.07.2014 alongwith I.A. Nos. 1 & 2 in contempt petition No. 30/2013 in C.A. No. 5372/2012 and I.A. NO. 9 in C.A. NO. 5372/2012, when he was present as petitioner-in- person.

7. The respondent further stated that the sought for information is mostly in the nature of opinion. The respondent stated the he is the custodian of the record and only the available information can be provided under the RTI Act. The respondent stated that to provide advice, opinion and interpretation of laws is beyond his jurisdiction of duties under the RTI Act, 2005.

8. The respondent stated that the first appellate authority vide its order dated 09.01.2015 had disposed of the first appeal having concurred with the findings of the CPIO.

**Discussion/ observation:**

9. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

**Decision:**

10. No action is required in the matter at the level of this Commission.

The appeal is disposed of. A copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy  
(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar