### CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066

Tel: +91-11-26186535

# Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/003452

Appellant: Mr. D. K Vij

286 Dilbag Nagar, Jalandhar,

City- 144008, Punjab

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer

Jt. G.M./ IT IRCTC Ltd., Deptt. Internal Ticketing centre, IRCA Bldg, State Entry road New Delhi-

110055.

Date of Hearing: 05.01.2017 Dated of Decision: 05.01.2017

### ORDER

### **Facts:**

- 1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 07.07.2015, seeking travel details of passenger named Mr. D.K Vij having PNR No. 2634180423 who travelled by Amritsar Shatabdi on 24.04.2010 from Jalandhar city to New Delhi and whether Shri D.K Vij came back from New Delhi to Jalandhar city and the PNR No, coach no, seat no etc for this return journey.
- 2. The CPIO responded on 17.07.2015. The appellant filed First Appeal dated nil, with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded dated 01.09.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 16.09.2015 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

## Hearing:

- 3. The appellant and the respondent participated in the hearing.
- 4. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him. The appellant stated that he is seeking information related to his own PNR number. The appellant stated that the sought for information does not pertain to third party.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant has been replied to vide letter

dated 17.07.2015. The respondent stated that the booked tickets are

property of user Id holder ie. the one who books the ticket by using his user

Id and Password. The respondent stated that in the instant case Mr.

Kanpreet had booked tickets with his user Id and password. The respondent

stated that they had emailed to him on receiving the a RTI application

seeking similar information by Mr. Chugh Vide reply dated 27.06.2015, the

registered user had denied permission to give the sought for information.

The respondent stated that third party information cannot be provided

without consent of the third party.

Discussion/ observation:

5. The fresh comments from Mr. Kanpreet who booked the ticket, should

have been called for by the respondent as in this case, the applicant was

different, namely Mr. D.K Vij.

Decision:

7. The respondent is directed to follow the process of section 11 of the RTI

Act afresh and provide information to the appellant as per the RTI Act, free of

cost within 30 days of this order.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free

of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)

**Chief Information Commissioner** 

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)

Dy. Registrar

2