

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/003429

Appellant: Mr. P Sebastian
C F 4, Mithra Block, Agrini Enclave. No 78,
T P K Road, Andalpuram, Madurai- 625003.
Tamil Nadu.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Dy. Chief vigilance officer, Southern Rly, GM Office,
RTI Cell, Chennai- 600003.

Date of Hearing: 09.01.2017

Dated of Decision: 09.01.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2015, seeking information as to whether the Chief Vigilance Officer of Southern Railway has received his 142 pages complaint, the present status of complaint, whether Dr. R.J Bhaskar & Mr.S. Narayanan have got any prior permission from the Southern Railway to hold the President & General Secretary posts in a private housing society etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 30.07.2015, 11.08.2015, 19.08.2015. The appellant filed first appeal dated 13.08.2015, with first appellate authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 10.09.2015, 28.09.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 30.10.2015, before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant was absent. Respondent participated in hearing through video conferencing.

4. Respondent stated that information asked by the appellant pertain to two offices of the respondent, point nos. 1 to 3 of the RTI application pertain to Vigilance branch, which was replied to appellant by the respondent vide letter dated 30.07.2015.

5. Respondent further stated that point nos. 4 to 7 pertain to other branches which was replied to appellant by the respondent vide letters dated 11.08.2015, and 19.08.2015 to the appellant. It took time because information had to be collected from a number of offices.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The Commission observed that the respondent has provided full information to the appellant.

7. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

8. No further intervention of the commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar