

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Room No.315, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066)

Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhushi Sridhar)

Central Information Commissioner

CIC/SA/A/2016/003112

Sitanshu Kumar Jena v. PIO, Department of School, Education and Literacy.

Important Dates and time taken:

RTI: 29.08.2014	FAO: Nil	SA: 10.12.2014
Closed	Hearing: 04.10.2016	Decided on: 04.10.2016

Parties Present:

1. Appellant: present
Public authority: present

FACTS:

2. The appellant sought certified copy of application submitted by Dr. Priyadarshini and Mr. Pramod Gupta filed at Delhi High Court in the case Dr. Sitanshu Shekhar Jena v. UoI. CPIO stated that information cannot be provided in term of Section 8 (h) of RTI Act. Appellant approached the Commission.

Decision:

3. The information sought about Dr. Priyadarshini and her advocate Mr. Pramod Gupta which are third party information. There was a complaint against the appellant alleging sexual harassment by Dr. Priyadarshini. Appellant says that the enquiry committee concluded that there was no sufficient/direct evidence. The report of the committee given in September 2014 concluded that the appellant should not be given any supervisory position in which the complainant is required to serve under him in any capacity. The Commission

finds only vindictive interest and no public interest in this appeal, hence, rejected.

Sd/-

(M. Sridhar Acharyulu)
Central Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Dinesh Kumar)
Deputy Registrar

Copy of decision given to the parties free of cost.

Addresses of the parties:

1. The CPIO under RTI,
Department of School, Education and
Literacy, MHRD, Shastri Bhawan,
New Delhi (RTI Cell).
2. Shri Sitanshu Kumar Jena,
Flat No. 392, KP Thakkar Block,
Asian Games Village,
New Delhi-110091.