

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/CC/A/2015/003082/SB

Dated 02.09.2016

Appellant : ShriM.P. Vasudevan,
A-301, Silver Gardens,
J.B. Nagar, Mumbai-400 059.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Staff Selection Commission,
Block No.12, CGO Complex,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110054.

Date of Hearing : 02.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 04.04.2014

CPIO's reply : 17.12.2014

First appeal filed on : 25.09.2014

FAA's order : 29.10.2014

Second appeal filed on : 26.03.2015

ORDER

1. Shri M.P. Vasudevan filed an application dated 04.04.2014 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Staff Selection Commission(SSC) seeking copy of Office Order or instruction modifying the retention period of Allocation Lists/Detailed

Results/Final Results and files relating to declaration of results from 'Permanent' to 'Ten Years'.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 26.03.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that he has not been provided information though the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has directed the CPIO to provide the information to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri M.P. Vasudevan was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri Joy Saxena, Section Officer, SSC was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that a copy of the revised Record Retention Schedule was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 17.12.2014.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the respondent and perusing the records observes, that the respondent has provided a copy of the revised Record Retention Schedule, whereas, the appellant had sought for the copy of the Officer Order modifying the record retention schedule. In view of this, the Commission directs the respondent to provide a copy of the Officer Order modifying the records retention schedule to the appellant, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this decision.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer