

Central Information Commission, New Delhi
File No. CIC/SH/C/2015/000030
Right to Information Act-2005-Under Section (18)

Date of hearing : 9th September 2016

Date of decision : 9th September 2016

Name of the Complainant : Shri Raj Kishore Prasad,
R. M. S. Colony, Urdu Bazar,
Distt-Bhagalpur, Bihar- 812002

Name of the Public Authority/Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Central Bank of India,
Chander Mukhi, Nariman Point,
Mumbai- 400021

RTI Application filed on : 14/10/2014

CPIO replied on : —

First Appeal filed on : 20/12/2014

First Appellate Authority order on : —

Complaint received on : 15/01/2015

The Complainant was present at the NIC Studio, Bhagalpur.

On behalf of the Respondents, Shri B. R. Sankar, Deputy GM was present at the NIC Studio, Mumbai.

Information Commissioner : Shri Sharat Sabharwal

Information sought

This matter concerns an RTI application filed by the Complainant, seeking information on five points in the context of disciplinary proceedings conducted against him.

The CPIO reply

No reply given.

Grounds of the First Appeal

No information provided.

Order of the First Appellate Authority

No order passed.

Grounds of complaint to the Commission

No information provided.

Relevant facts emerging during the Hearing, Discussion and Decision

The Complainant stated that he was wrongly dismissed from the service of the bank and has been asking the Respondents for copies of certain documents, which have not been provided to him in spite of repeated requests. He stated that these documents are necessary to establish that he was dismissed wrongly. The Respondents stated that both the RTI application and the appeal to the FAA were forwarded to their Patna office, who have informed them that vide Commission's order No. CIC/MP/A/2014/000049/SH dated 7.1.2015, we had disposed of an appeal of the Complainant concerning another RTI application. For the reasons stated in the above order, we had come to the conclusion that the repeated RTI applications filed by the Complainant concerning the same matter amounted to a clear misuse of the RTI facility.

2. In view of the foregoing, we find no substance in this complaint and it is dismissed.
3. Copies of this order be given free of cost to the parties.

Sd/-
(Sharat Sabharwal)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(Vijay Bhalla)
Deputy Registrar