

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Club building, Opposite Ber Sarai Market, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi- 110067.

Tel: 011 - 26182593/26182594

Email: registrycab@gmail.com

File No : CIC/VS/A/2015/002845 -AB

In the matter of:

...Appellant

Ajay Kumar Rajpoot
C/o Shri. Ram Kishor master ji
New Sidharth Nagar (Hartman) Ramlila
Maidan, Dr Vidyasagar, Jr. High school, P.O
Izzat Nagar, Bareilly, U.P- 243122

Vs

Central Public Information Officer,
1. M/o Railways CPIO and Divisional Commercial Manage,
East Central Railway Danapur, Bihar.

2. CPIO, Divisional Commercial Manage,
And APIO, Officer of the DRM, East Central Railway
Mughalsarai. U.P

3. CPIO, Railway Board, Room No. 05,
RTI Cell, Railway Bhawan, Raisina Road, New Delhi- 110001

...Respondents

	Dates
RTI application	: 18.05.2015
CPIO reply	: 11.08.2015
First Appeal	: 27.06.2015
FAA Order	: Not on record
Second Appeal	: 24.09.2015
Date of hearing	: 15.03.2017

Information sought:

The appellant had sought information regarding allocation of train coaches for differently abled passengers and name and designation of officer who is responsible for deciding on the existence of number of such coaches in a particular train.

Grounds for Second Appeal

The CPIO provided only part information to the appellants.

Order

Appellant : **Absent**
Respondent : **CPIO, Shri Sudhir Bhattacharya, ACM(Patna)**
CPIO, Shri Devesh, COS (Chandauli)
CPIO, Shri Rakesh, Dy. Director

During the hearing the respondent CPIO submitted that they had provided the requisite reply vide their letter dated 11.08.2015 in connection with the RTI application dated 18.05.2015. It was seen that the respondent CPIO had attempted to provide the reply to the majority of points raised in the said RTI application. However, being dissatisfied with the reply of the respondent CPIO, the appellants filed a first appeal dated 27.06.2015 but there was no record of the order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA).

The appellants were not present to challenge the contention of the respondent CPIO.

On perusal of the case record, it was seen that the issues raised in the aforementioned RTI application are specific and appropriate for passengers who are differently abled. The entire information is required to be provided to the general public at large as it indeed involves public interest in its most urgent form.

With this objective in view, this bench is ordering the nodal CPIOs, CPIO DPM and CPIO, Director TT(Cng.) Railway board, Danapur to provide the sought for information in the following manner:-

RTI application dated 18.05.2015

Point Nos. 1 and 2	Nodal authority is Director TT(Cng.) & CPIO, Railway Board
Point Nos. 4,5,6,7,8,9	Nodal authority is CPIO, DPM, Railway Board

The registry attached to this bench of the CIC is directed to send a copy of this order to CPIO, DPM, Railway Board and Director TT(Cng.) & CPIO, Railway Board for providing the sought for information as described above.

Both the above stated respondents ie CPIO, DPM, Railway Board, Danapur and CPIO, Director,TT(Cng.), East Central Railway, Danapur are directed to provide point wise reply complete in all details/respects to the appellant, as available on record (certified true copies of the documents) e.g. note sheet, letters, correspondence, e.mail etc free of charge u/s 7(6) of the RTI Act within 30 days of the receipt of the order.

For this purpose, CPIO/PIO, may take assistance of any other office/department u/s 5(4) of the RTI Act.

The respondent CPIOs are further directed to send report(s) containing the copy of the reply and the date of despatch of the same to the RTI appellant within 07 days thereafter to the Commission for record.

With the above direction, the appeal is disposed of.

[Amitava Bhattacharyya]
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(A.K. Talapatra)
Deputy Registrar