

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066**  
**Tel : +91-11-26186535**

**Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/002763**

Appellant: Mr. Rajinder Kumar  
109 D, RCF Colony, Hussainpur-144602,  
Kapurthala, Punjab

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer  
Sr. PRO, M/o Railway Coach Factory,  
Kapurthala, Punjab

Date of Hearing: 09.01.2017

Dated of Decision: 09.01.2017

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 29.04.2015 seeking information on 14 points regarding: copies of the statements taken from Sh. Darshan Lal; copies of the deposition(s) made by the witnesses, if any; copies of the investigation reports; copies of the charges proposed by the vigilance department for imputation in the chargesheet(s), etc.

2. The CPIO responded on 23.05.2015. The appellant filed first appeal dated 19.06.2015, with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 24.07.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 21.09.2015 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing.
4. The appellant stated that he has not been provided investigation report of the case. The appellant stated that the facts cited in the complaints made out a case of cheating and fraud which call for a major penalty and criminal

proceeding. The appellant stated that no criminal proceeding was lodged in the case. The appellant alleged that undue favours might have been given in the instant case either at the investigation level and /or by the disciplinary authority. The appellant stated that larger public interest involved in disclosure of the sought for information. Hence, the investigation report be given u/s 8(2) of the RTI Act.

5. The respondent stated in the said complaint case, the investigation was carried out and 6 officers were penalised. The respondent stated that the investigation report cannot be provided under section 8(1) (g) (h) of the RTI Act and as per this Commission's order no. CIC/AT/A/2010/000757 dated 12.11.2010.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. On query, from the Commission, the respondent stated that the investigation has been completed. However, it is a settled law that the details of disciplinary action against the third party cannot be given under RTI Act unless larger public interest involved. It is observed that there is no larger public interest involved in the matter as appellant has not proved any larger public interest.

7. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

**Decision:**

8. No action is required in the matter at the level of this Commission.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar