

Central Information Commission, New Delhi
File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000027
Right to Information Act-2005-Under Section (19)

Date of hearing : 13th January 2017

Date of decision : 13th January 2017

Name of the Appellant : **SHRI BALBIR SINGH (ADVOCATE)**
KASBA-SISAUJI, (CHAUDHARAN PATTI)
DISTT- MUZAFFARNAGAR,
UTTAR PRADESH - 251319

Name of the Public Authority/Respondent : **CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER,**
UNION BANK OF INDIA
REGIONAL OFFICE: MEERUT,
OPPOSITE GOVT. INTER COLLEGE,
BEGUM BRIDGE ROAD, MEERUT,
UP- 25 0001

RTI Application filed on : 23/02/2015

CPIO replied on : 25/03/2015

First Appeal filed on : 04/05/2015

First Appellate Authority order on : 08/06/2015

2nd Appeal received on : 09/12/2015

Information Commissioner : **Shri Sharat Sabharwal**

Information sought

This matter concerns an RTI applications filed by the Appellant seeking the following information regarding three Life Long Income Scheme Deposits opened in the years 1977, 1979 and 1981:-

1. What amount was to be paid on completion of the said scheme?
2. What was the actual amount paid and the dates of payment?

The CPIO reply

The CPIO expressed his inability to provide the information as it was very old.

Grounds of the First Appeal

Not satisfied with the CPIO's reply.

Order of the First Appellate Authority

The FAA upheld the CPIO's reply.

Grounds of the Second Appeal

Information sought not provided.

Relevant facts emerging during the Hearing, Discussion and Decision

Both the parties were absent in spite of a written notice having been sent to them. On perusal of the records placed before us, we note that the Appellant seeks information regarding certain deposits that matured in 1988 and whose proceeds were paid to him in July 1992. He believes that the total amount due to him was not paid. The Respondents have expressed their inability to provide the information as it is very old. As stated by the Appellant, the deposits in question matured in 1998 and were paid in 1992. Therefore, in case there was any short payment, he could have pursued the matter immediately thereafter. It is not clear why he is seeking the information after more than twenty years. Given the totality of the facts placed before us, we cannot fault the Respondents for not providing the information in this case.

2. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
3. Copies of this order be given free of cost to the parties.

Sd/-
(Sharat Sabharwal)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(Vijay Bhalla)
Deputy Registrar