

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066
[Tel:+91-11-26186535](tel:+91-11-26186535)

Appeal No.CIC/BS/A/2015/002289

Appellant: Jitendra Kumar Bhargava
GDSMC Khanda Sehrol Shahbad, Present
Posting -GDSMC Sup Post Office- Shahbad,
Division -Kota, Rajasthan Mo. 09982582688

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Sr. Supdt. Deptt. of Posts, O/o Sr. Supdt. of
Post Offices, Kota Division, Kota-324001,
Rajasthan

Date of Hearing: 21.03.2017
Date of Decision: 21.03.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 22.05.2015 seeking information regarding transfer and deputation of employees (GDSDA/MC) working in the B.O. of Sub Post Office Shahbad etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 26.06.2015. The appellant filed first appeal dated 02.07.2015 before the first appellate authority (FAA).The FAA responded on 23.07.2015. The appellant filed second appeal to the Commission on 07.08.2015 on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant and the respondent participated in the hearing through VC.
4. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him. The appellant stated that he has been informed that no one was posted on deputation. The appellant stated that he has not been replied to correctly. The appellant stated that he was posted on deputation five times.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant has been replied to vide letter dated 26.06.2015 that under sub post office Shabad, no one was transferred and posted on deputation. However, for smooth function of the offices, the divisional office, Kota makes arrangement as per requirement. The respondent stated the appellant sought vague information as he has not mentioned the period for which the information on transfer and deputation has been sought in his RTI application. The respondent stated that if the appellant had sought for his own deputation periods they would have provided this information to him.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The Commission observed that the appellant has sought for vague information as no period was mentioned in the RTI application.

7. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application are satisfactory.

Decision:

8. The Commission's intervention is not required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar