

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/YA/A/2014/002216/SB

Dated 10.10.2016

Appellant : Shri Bajrang Lal Aggarwal,
6, Patti Katla,
Naya Bazar, Ajmer,
Rajasthan.

Respondent : The Central Public Information Officer,
Central Information Commission,
2nd Floor, B-Wing, August Kranti
Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place,
New Delhi-110 066.

Date of Hearing : 10.10.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 25.02.2014

CPIO's reply : 06.03.2014

First appeal filed on : 11.04.2014

FAA's order : No order

Second appeal filed on : 25.07.2014

ORDER

1. Shri Bajranglal Aggarwal filed an application dated 25.02.2014 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Central Information Commission (CIC) seeking information on five points pertaining to action taken on his non-compliance petition dated 22.05.2013 relating to Commission's Decision dated 17.07.2012 in file No. 2011-001966.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal before the Commission on 25.07.2014 on the grounds that the CPIO has intentionally provided incomplete, incorrect and misleading information and that no action has been taken on the non-compliance for the last three and half years for which he may be awarded compensation.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Bajrang Lal Aggarwal attended the hearing through video conferencing. The respondent Shri S.C. Sharma, Deputy Registrar and CPIO, CIC was present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that though his second appeal in the matter has already been heard by the Commission vide CIC/YA/A/2014/001363/SB dated 16.05.2016, the directions of the Commission are yet to be complied with by the respondent.

5. The respondent submitted that in compliance with the Commission's order dated 16.05.2016, the Registrar, CIC was entrusted with the enquiry relating to the matter vide letter dated 08.06.2016. The respondent further submitted that the Registrar, CIC has sought some more time to complete the enquiry.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the parties and perusing the records, observes that the matter has already been adjudicated by the Commission. In case the appellant is aggrieved by non compliance of the Commission's order, he may file a non compliance petition with reference to file no. CIC/YA/A/2014/001363/SB. No action is considered necessary in the present file.

7. Copy of decision be given free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer