

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2015/002164

Appellant: Mr. A.P. Misra,
R/o. H. No. 10-2-317/67 (F.F.),
Vijaynagar Colony, Hyderabad-500057
Andhra Pradesh
(9490215966)

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Dy. Dir., UIDAI Region Office,
5th Floor, Block III, My Hub Home,
Madhapur, Hyderabad-500081

Date of Hearing: 02.03.2017

Dated of Decision: 02.03.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 13.08.2015 seeking information regarding the correction of his father's name in Aadhar card; status of his application dated 16.05.2015; reasons for delay in change of name, etc.

2. The response of CPIO is not on record. The appellant filed First Appeal dated 07.10.2015 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 16.10.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 01.12.2015 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him and to invoke Section 20 of the RTI Act.

Hearing:

3. The appellant did not participate in the hearing. The respondent participated in the hearing through video conferencing.

4. The respondent stated that there is a delay in furnishing the sought information as the RTI application after getting registered in the inward register had been misplaced.

5. The respondent further stated that updation as sought by the appellant has been done except updation of father's name of the appellant due to applicant not choosing change in the address field as per the updation form signed by him.

6. The respondent also stated that sought information has been provided to the appellant and they regret the delay caused.

Discussion/ observation:

7. The respondent has furnished the sought information to the appellant. However, there is a delay in furnishing the reply and to that effect respondent has furnished unconditional apology for the same.

8. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

9. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar