

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066
Tel: +91-11-26186535

Appeal No.CIC/BS/A/2015/002125

Appellant: Prakash Chandra Bothra
8-91, Dani Bazaar, Badmer,
Churu, Rajasthan.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Supdt., Deptt. of Post Office,
Churu Division, Churu, Rajasthan-331001.

Date of Hearing: 02.03.2017

Date of Decision: 02.03.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 30.05.2015 seeking information on 5 points : (i) copy of file of PPO no. 6963 available with Director of Accounts (Postal), Jaipur (ii) copy of minutes of the screening committee held on 28.02.2012 to consider cases for grant of benefits under MACP scheme for 3rd upgradation, deputation allowance (iii) copy of Churu SPO's letter No. B2-91(A) dated 27.02.2012 regarding not granting deputation allowances(iv) action taken on his letter dated 24.12.2012 (v) details of his T.A Bills from 01.04.2011 and details of amount deducted etc.

2. The CPIO responded on 13.07.2015. The appellant filed first appeal dated 02.08.2015 before the first appellate authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 25.08.2015. The appellant filed second appeal to the Commission on 14.10.2015 on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The respondent participated in the hearing. The appellant did not participate in the hearing.

4. The respondent stated that they have been forwarded RTI application on 25.06.2015 for replying certain paras of the RTI application. The pointwise reply has been given to the appellant on 24.09.2015. The appellant stated the point no. (i) was not related to them and the information has been provided on point no. (ii) i.e. copy of minutes of department screening Committee. The respondent stated that the letter referred to in point no. (iii) is not correct. However, a copy of the sought for letter (being a different date) has been given. The respondent stated that the reply in respect of point no. 5 was given on 08.07.2015. The respondent stated the reply on point no. (i) (i.e. Copy of file of PPO No. 6963) might have been given by the Director of Accounts, Jaipur.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant is habitual in filing RTI applications on the similar issue repeatedly and he has filed various cases against the department.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory. The information on point no. (i) should be provided to the appellant.

Decision:

7. The respondent is directed to provide the information on point no. (i) to the appellant within 15 days of this order, if not already provided.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy
(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar