

**Central Information Commission, New Delhi**  
**File No. CIC/SH/A/2016/000020**  
**Right to Information Act-2005-Under Section (19)**

**Date of hearing** : 12th January 2017

**Date of decision** : 12th January 2017

**Name of the Appellant** : SHRI HARISH KAPDI  
S/O. LATE SHRI KEDAR DUTT KAPDI,  
VILL-LINTHYUDA ,  
PO-SILTHAM, TEHSIL  
DISTT-PITHORGARH, UTTARAKHAND

**Name of the Public Authority/Respondent** : CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER,  
UTTARAKHAND GRAMIN BANK  
REGIONAL OFFICE-III, MALDAAR  
TOWR, SIMALGAIR BAZAR,  
PITHORAGARH,  
DISTT-PITHORAGARH, UTTARAKHAND

**RTI Application filed on** : 17/06/2015

**CPIO replied on** : 08/07/2015

**First Appeal filed on** : 28/07/2015

**First Appellate Authority order on** : 28/08/2015

**2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal received on** : 30/11/2015

The Appellant was not present.

On behalf of the Respondents, Shri K. N. Joshi, Senior Manager was heard through audio-conferencing.

**Information Commissioner** : Shri Sharat Sabharwal

**Information sought**

This matter concerns an RTI application filed by the Appellant, seeking information on six points regarding details of the loan account of Shri Mohammed Shahid in which he was a guarantor, loan account of Shri Mohammed Moinuddin and related issues.

**The CPIO reply**

The CPIO gave a point wise reply to the Appellant.

## **Grounds of the First Appeal**

Not satisfied with the CPIO's reply.

## **Order of the First Appellate Authority**

The FAA directed the CPIO to provide certified copy of the loan documents concerning Shri Mohammed Shahid after obtaining the same from the court and denied the information with regard to Shri Mohammed Moinuddin under section 8 (1) (d), (e) & (j) of the RTI Act.

## **Grounds of the Second Appeal**

Not satisfied with the information provided on point nos. 3, 4 & 7.

## **Relevant facts emerging during the Hearing, Discussion and Decision**

The Appellant was not present in spite of a written notice having been sent to him. In his appeal to the Commission, he had expressed his dissatisfaction with the response of the CPIO to points No. 3, 4 and 7 of the RTI application. The Respondents reiterated the reply of the CPIO to the above points. On perusal of the records, we see no ground to interfere with the same.

2. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
3. Copies of this order be given free of cost to the parties.

Sd/-  
**(Sharat Sabharwal)**  
**Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

**(Vijay Bhalla)**  
**Deputy Registrar**