

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/001961

Appellant: Mr Anil Barjatiya,
R1, X7, Sector 'c' Scheme No. 71,
Gumashta Nagar,
Behind Mukut Mangalik Bhawan,
Indore-452009, (9479766885),
Madhya Pradesh

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer,
Dy. Controller, Deptt. of Telecommunication,
Controller of Communication Accounts,
Door Sanchar Bhavan,
Hoshangabad Road,
Bhopal-462015.

Date of Hearing: 26.07.2017

Dated of Decision: 26.07.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 15.01.2016 seeking information regarding Bio-Metrics Attendance of 1st class and 2nd class Gazetted officers in the Joint Controller Communication Accounting, Controller Communicating Accounting, Chief Controller Communicating Accounting offices; classification of APAR and numerical grading of these officers etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 09.03.2016. The appellant filed Appeal dated 08.04.2016 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 10.05.2016. The appellant filed second appeal on 01.08.2016 before the Commission on the ground that complete information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. Both appellant and the respondent were present in the hearing through VC.

4. The appellant stated that the complete information has not been furnished by the respondent. Further he stated that information on point 1 has been received but information has not been provided on point no. 2 and 3 viz. information of all those offices where APAR of the 1st and 2nd class gazetted officer of the year of 2009 to 2015 was delayed; representation by the 1st and 2nd class gazetted officers who obtained numerical grading less than 4 and 6 in APAR in the year of 2009 to 2015', name of the Head of Offices etc.

5. The respondent stated that information as available with them has been furnished to the appellant on 09.03.2016. The respondent stated that the information is not available in the sought for format, hence, it could not be provided in the soft copy in CD. On query from the Commission, the respondent stated that in their office alone has 46 staff. Similar information is also required to be called from more than 20 offices. The respondent further stated that they do not have rules/provisions regarding exemption of head of the department for marking their attendance through Bio-Metric System. The respondent stated that the appellant, being an employee of this organisation, knew the name of the head of the department, hence, they did not provide the name of the Head of the Department.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The Commission observed that sought for information is voluminous collation and collection of such information would disproportionately divert the resources of the respondent public authority. Further, the APAR of the employees is third party information and cannot be provided, being personal information.

7. The Commission observed that during the hearing, the appellant stated that he knows the name of the Head of the Department.

8. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory

Decision:

9. No further intervention of the commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed off Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar