

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place,
New Delhi-110066

F. No. **CIC/SA/A/2016/001954**

Date of Hearing : **17.07.2017**
Date of Decision : **28.08.2017**
Appellant/Complainant : **Haripal**
Respondent : **PIO**
O/o Dy. Secretary
Department of Information
Technology
GNCTD

Through:

Shri Subhash Chandra Agarwal
Shri Naresh Kumar. CPIO
Shri Praveen Kumar

Information Commissioner : Shri Yashovardhan Azad

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on : 01.04.2016
PIO replied on : -
First Appeal filed on : 10.06.2016
First Appellate Order on : 27.06.2016
2nd Appeal/complaint received on : 11.08.2016

Information sought and background of the case:

Vide RTI application dated 01.04.2016, the appellant sought information on 16 points regarding the Land consolidation (*Chakbandi*) at Kanjhawala Village in 1996. In this respect appellant sought details of the responsible officers who did not complete the land consolidation (*Chakbandi*) till 2016. He also sought details/ records during the Land consolidation (*Chakbandi*) number of plot allotted on Bombay Registry. The CPIO vide letter dated 06.04.2016 transferred the RTI application to the concerned CPIOs. Having not received any information from any CPIO, the appellant filed first appeal. During the hearing of FAA, appellant pressed for information on point no. 4, 9, 11, 12, 15 and 16. The FAA directed the PIO/SDM(K) to furnish the information to the appellant within 15 days. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant approached the Commission.

Relevant facts emerging during hearing:

The appellant is absent despite notice. The respondent is present and heard. At the outset, the respondent PIO submits that the Appellant is a habitual information seeker and in the present RTI Application voluminous and disparate information spanning across records of more than 20 years has been sought. He states that the requisite information has been furnished to the Appellant in reply to other similar RTI Applications. He states that despite having afforded numerous opportunities, the Appellant had failed to avail inspection.

The Appellant is not present to rebut the submission of respondents.

Decision:

After hearing the respondents and perusal of record, the Commission finds that the Appellant could not make out a case warranting interference at the end of Commission. The Commission concludes that the RTI application has been dealt with adequately.

The appeal is dismissed.

(Yashovardhan Azad)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(R.P.Grover)
Designated Officer

Copy to:-

HARIPAL S/o. Shri Ramesh Chandra, House No.-553 (Behind Old State Bank of India), Village - Kanjhawala, Delhi-110081.	Public Information Officer under RTI Office of the Deputy Secretary, Department of Information Technology (Government of NCT of Delhi), 9 th Level, B-Wing, Delhi Secretariat, I. P. Estate, New Delhi-110002.
Public Information Officer under RTI O/o. the Assistant Director-(AR) & PIO, Department of Administrative Reforms (Government of NCT of Delhi), Hall, 7 th Level, C-Wing, Delhi Secretariat, I.P. Estate, New Delhi-110002.	Public Information Officer under RTI Sub-Divisional Magistrate-(Kanjhawla), O/o. the Deputy Commissioner-N/W, (Government of NCT of Delhi) North West District, Kanjhawala, Delhi-110081.
First Appellate Authority under RTI O/o. the Additional District Magistrate-North, (Government of NCT of Delhi), North - West District, Kanjhawala, Delhi-110081.	Nodal Officer – RTI Cell, O/o. the Deputy Secretary & PIO, Chief Minister's Office (Government of NCT of Delhi), Room No.-315-A, 3rd Floor, RTI Branch, Delhi Secretariat, New Delhi-110002.