

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Club building, Opposite Ber Sarai Market, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi- 110067.

Tel: 011 - 26182593/26182594

Email: registrycab@gmail.com

File No : CIC/VS/A/2015/001913-AB

In the matter of:

Abhishek Kumar
Buniyadi Nagar, Bhanpuri,
Post-Birhaon Raipur,
Chhattisgarh- 493221.

...Appellant

VS

Central Public Information Officer,
Divisional Mechanical Engineer (D),
Office of Sr. Divisional Railway Engineer (D),
South East Central Railway,
Raipur Chhattisgarh.

...Respondent

Dates

| | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| RTI application | : | 16.07.2014 |
| CPIO reply | : | 18.09.2014 |
| First Appeal | : | 15.10.2014 |
| FAA Order | : | 15.11.2014 |
| Second Appeal | : | 15.04.2015 |
| Date of hearing | : | 08.03.2017, 28.04.2017 |

Information sought:

The appellant wanted to know the rule on the basis of which a charge sheet was issued to him by the concerned public authority.

Grounds for Second Appeal

The CPIO did not provide the desired information.

Interim Order

Appellant : **Present**
Respondent : **Absent**

During the hearing the appellant submitted that a charge sheet was issued to him for asking questions under the RTI Act. It was very unfortunate and deplorable. The respondent CPIO was not present to plead the case. **An explanation is sought from the respondent CPIO for not being present on 08.03.2017 & also for the misdemeanour as stated above.**

The registry of this bench is directed to ensure that the notice for the next hearing is duly served on both the parties without fail and proof of despatch of the notices to the concerned parties is to be enclosed in the file.

The case is adjourned.

Final Order

28.04.2017

Appellant : **Present**
Respondent : **APIO, Shri Ranjeet**

During the hearing the respondent APIO submitted that they had provided the requisite information vide their letters dated 19.09.14, 15.11.2014 in connection with the RTI application dated 16.07.2014. The appellant submitted that he was not satisfied with the reply.

On perusal of the case record, it was seen that reply provided by the FAA dated 15.11.2014 is just and proper. Hence, nothing much remains in this case.

With the above direction, the appeal is disposed of.

Copy of the order may be sent to both the parties free of cost.

[Amitava Bhattacharyya]
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(A.K. Talapatra)
Deputy Registrar