

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. **CIC/RM/A/2014/001858/KY**

Appellant : Shri Dhananjay Wasnik
Flat No. 7, Ahiya Apartments
Behind Rajesh Khanna Garden
16th Road, Santacruz (W), Mumbai-400054

Public Authority : The CPIO
Ministry of Heavy Industries and Public Enterprises
MMTC Limited, Core-1, Scope Complex, 7,
Institutional Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

Date of Hearing : 26.09.2016
Date of Decision : 26.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Shri V K Chowdhary, GM (Vig.) & PIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **13.11.2013**, the appellant sought information on **5 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **05.12.2013, 12.12.2013 & 15.01.2014**, has provided the information to the Appellant on point no 1 and other are denied to provide information u/s 8 (1) (g).
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **16.12.2013**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **09.01.2014**, directed CPIO to provide the information to the Appellant.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **04.04.2014**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **13.11.2013**, sought information from the respondents on **5 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **05.12.2013 & 12.12.2013**, has provided the information to the Appellant on point no 1, however, against remaining issues denied to information by taking plea u/s 8 (1) (g) of the RTI Act 2005. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant

on **16.12.2013** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **09.01.2014**, directed CPIO to provide the required information to the Appellant.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that in compliance of FAA's order dated 09.01.2014, the CPIO, vide his response dated **15.01.2014**, provided the required information to the appellant on his RTI application dated **13.11.2013**.
3. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **13.11.2013**, respondent's responses dated **05.12.2013, 12.12.2013 & 15.01.2014**, FAA's order dated **09.01.2014**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.
5. Apart from above, the appellant's second appeal is also a **non-pressed**, despite of our due notice to him.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
Ministry of Heavy Industries and Public Enterprises
MMTC Limited, Core-1, Scope Complex, 7,
Institutional Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

Shri Dhananjay Wasnik
Flat No. 7, Ahiya Apartments
Behind Rajesh Khanna Garden
16th Road, Santacruz (W), Mumbai-400054