

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place,  
New Delhi-110066

**F. No. CIC/SA/A/2016/001797**

**Date of Hearing** : 14.09.2017  
**Date of Decision** : 16.10.2017  
**Appellant/Complainant** : Narendra Kumar  
**Respondent** : PIO /GGSIPU  
**Information Commissioner** : Shri Yashovardhan Azad

**Relevant facts emerging from appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 08.02.2016  
PIO replied on : 21.03.2016  
First Appeal filed on : 06.04.2016  
First Appellate Order on : -  
2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal/complaint received on : 05.07.2016

**Information sought and background of the case:**

Vide RTI application dated, 08.02.2016 sought the following:

1. *Certified copy of Agenda No.43.28 which was produced before the Board of Management on its 43<sup>rd</sup> meeting dated 6<sup>th</sup> August 2010 along with minutes/final decision of the meeting.*
2. *Certified copy of agenda No.53.27 which was produced before the Board of Management on its 53<sup>rd</sup> meeting dated 15<sup>th</sup> March 2013 alongwith minutes final decision of the meeting.*
3. *Certified copy of regularisation policy of employees who are working under the direct contract with GGSIPU*
4. *Certified list of University employees from 2010 onward who were working on contractual basis and after the fixed time period they are regularised on the same post*
5. *Certified copy of order/Act/Rule & Regulation under which the contractual employee of the GGSIPU regularised.*
6. *Status of letter sent to JR(Personnel) dated 17<sup>th</sup> March, 2015*

*7. If any action taken against Q.No.6 – certified copy of action taken report*

PIO vide letter dated 21.03.2016 furnished information as available on record. Being dissatisfied, the appellant filed an appeal which remained unheard. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant approached the Commission.

**Relevant facts emerging during hearing:**

Both the parties are present and heard. The Appellant states to have received information on all points except for point no. 6 of the RTI application. He states that his representation addressed to JR (Personnel) has not been considered and the status thereof is unknown. The said representation is stated to be regarding regularization of contractual employees having worked for more than 8 years. The Appellant states to have been working with Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University since 2007 and claims regularization of service. The PIO states that the said representation is under consideration and the status shall be intimated to the appellant.

**Decision:**

After hearing the parties and perusal of record, the Commission directs the respondent PIO to furnish complete status of representation dated 17.03.2015 as mentioned on point no. 6 of the RTI application to the appellant within 2 weeks of receipt of this order. A report of compliance shall be marked to the Commission.

**(Yashovardhan Azad)**  
**Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

**(R.P.Grover)**  
**Designated Officer**

Copy to:-
