

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Club building, Opposite Ber Sarai Market, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi- 110067.

Tel: 011 - 26182593/26182594

Email: registrycab@gmail.com

File No : CIC/VS/A/2015/001746-AB

In the matter of:

Vibhuti Narayan Dubey
Vill-Sansarapur, P.O- Chaksunder Pur
Gyanpur, Dist Bhadohi U.P
221304

...Appellant

VS

Central Public Information Officer,
M/o Railway
Railway Board
RTI Cell, New Delhi

...Respondent

Dates

RTI application	:	09.02.2015
CPIO reply	:	27.02.2015
First Appeal	:	03.03.2015
FAA Order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal	:	17.06.2015
Date of hearing	:	20.02.2017

Information sought:

The appellant had sought action taken on his applications dated 24.09.2013 and 29.07.2014 and other related information.

Grounds for Second Appeal

The CPIO did not provide the desired information.

Order

Appellant	:	Absent (VC could not be connected)
Respondent	:	CPIO, Shri A.K. Mukherjee, Dy. Director

During the hearing the respondent CPIO submitted that they had provided the requisite reply vide their letter dated 27.02.2015 in connection with the RTI application dated 09.02.2015 in which respondent CPIO intimated to the appellant to submit the correctly drawn IPO. He also submitted that in spite of the technical infirmity involved in the submission of the incorrectly drawn IPO along with the RTI application, proper reply was provided to the appellant by the public authority concerned.


The appellant was not present to challenge the contention of the respondent PIO.

On perusal of the case record, it was seen that reply to point nos. 1,3 and 7 of the said RTI application was provided, reply to point no. 2 was not provided, that on point no. 4 was not mentioned, point no. 5 was transferred u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act to the Allahabad PIO and there was no reply from the Allahabad PIO. The Point no. 6 was transferred to Eastern Railway. Hence, it was found that information on point nos. 2,5,6 of the said RTI application was not provided as these were either transferred but no reply from the transferred CPIO/PIO to the appellant was available on record.

In view of the fact that already partial information was provided to the appellant, the IPO issue is no longer of any major significance. As long delay is involved, the respondent CPIO is directed to provide point wise reply complete in all respects on point nos. 2,5 and 6 to the appellant, free of charge u/s 7(6) of the RTI Act, within 21 days of the receipt of the order. For this purpose, CPIO/PIO, may take assistance of any other office/department u/s 5(4) of the RTI Act.

A compliance report with proof of despatch of the said reply to the appellant shall be submitted within 07 days thereafter to the Commission for record.

With the above direction, the appeal is disposed of.


[Amitava Bhattacharyya]
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(A.K. Talapatra)
Deputy Registrar