

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Room No.315, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066)

**Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhushi Sridhar)**

Central Information Commissioner

**CIC/YA/A/2016/001707-SA**

**J. P. Singh v. PIO, Delhi Power Company Ltd.**

Important Dates and time taken:

<b>RTI:</b> 17.03.2016	<b>FAO:</b> 05.05.2016	<b>SA:</b> 19.05.2016
Disposed of.	<b>Hearing:</b> 29.09.2016	<b>Decided on:</b> 29.09.2016

## **Parties Present:**

1. Appellant: Present.

Public authority: Mr. S. K. Sharma, Asstt. Manager, PIO and Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Dy. Manager and FAA.

## **FACTS:**

2. Appellant had sought for certified copy of the original signature of the issuing authority in the minutes of the 14<sup>th</sup> meetings held on 28.09.2015 wherein Shri. Sanjeev Singh, Shri Sudhir Mohan Verma and Shri Prem Prakash nominee director of DPCL, shown as additional director of the company, were appointed w.e.f. 24.07.2015 etc. PIO, DPCL replied on 11.04.2016 stating that the information cannot be furnished in view of section 8 (1) (j) and 8 (1) (d). FAA directed the CS, DPCL to provide the requisite information to the PIO, DPCL so that the same may be forwarded to the appellant latest by 20.05.2016. PIO replied on 12.05.2016. Appellant approached the Commission.

## **Decision :**

3. The PIO stated that Shri Sanjeev Singh, Shri Sudhir Mohan Verma and Shri Prem Prakash are not nominees of DPCL and the minutes which the appellant wants to certify by the public authority are not the minutes of DPCL

but of BYPL, hence they cannot certify it. The agenda and minutes produced by the appellant are already available in the public domain. The earlier reply of the DPCL dated 11.04.2016 from Ms. Seema Srivastava, Company Secretary claimed exemption under Section 8 on the ground of commercial confidence and third party information. The Company Secretary wrote on May 10, 2016 to the PIO DPCL that information sought was not available in their office. Mr. S. K. Sharma PIO forwarded the letters received from Company Secretary as his reply on 12.05.2016. The PIO wrote above letter after the order of FAA. The ground raised to reject the request was irrelevant. However, the appellant has not made any request for information. He wanted DPCL to certify agenda and minutes of BYPL, which cannot be done by the DPCL. In fact, that information was already available in public domain. The appeal is disposed of.

Sd/-

(M. Sridhar Acharyulu)  
Central Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Dinesh Kumar)  
Deputy Registrar

Copy of decision given to the parties free of cost.

Addresses of the parties:

1. The CPIO under RTI,  
Delhi Power Company Limited,  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Pre-fabricated Building,  
Rajghat, New Delhi-110002.
2. Shri J. P. Singh,  
62-A, Guru Angad Nagar Extn.,  
Laxmi Nagar, Delhi-110092.