

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Room No.315, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066)

Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhushi Sridhar)

Central Information Commissioner

CIC/SA/A/2016/001652

Mohd. Kamil v. PIO, SDM (Preet Vihar)

Important Dates and time taken:

RTI: 03.02.2016	FAA: 09.03.2016	FAO: 05.05.2016
SA: 22.06.2016	Hearing: 05.09.2016	Decided on: 05.09.2016
Result: Show cause for compensation.		

Parties Present:

1. Appellant: Present.

Public authority: Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Tehsildar.

FACTS:

2. Appellant sought information regarding action taken on his application for issuance of domicile certificate of Mr. Iqra kamil etc. The PIO gave no information. FAA directed PIO/SDM (PV) to provide information to the appellant. Appellant thereafter, approached the Commission.

Decision :

3. The appellant claimed though his residence was 500 metres away from the office, they have rejected his request for domicile certificate of his daughter on the ground that addressee was not available. Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Tehsildar says the field verification was done by EA, the gist of which was submitted to EGC in response to appellant's application and domicile certificate was issued on 08.04.2016. The appellant says he applied on 04.08.2015 and the office has provided him certificate within 21 days according to citizen's charter. The appellant says he did not receive any message on his mobile, but he himself

found out the same by checking it online. He says there is no verification at all. It appears that the appellant was not informed about the reason for rejection. The appellant questions the content of the domicile certificate saying the girl is residing in Delhi only for last three (03) years, whereas the appellant and his daughter are living in Delhi since their birth. The appellant got surprised the way an untruthful statement is being certified under the national emblem. Tehsildar stated that three year period is being mentioned in the certificate because it is part of the format. The Commission directs respondent authority to provide certified copy of verification report submitted by Mr. Jagbir Singh, EA, Revenue Department, within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order. The Commission requires respondent authority under Section 19 (8) (a) (iv) to make necessary changes in their system to inform the applicant for any certificate the reason for rejection, requirements if any to be fulfilled, provide certificate within 21 days, or inform if further time is required, and change the format of domicile certificate removing the untruthful statement, besides mentioning exact period of residence as per the record. The Commission directs the PIO to show cause why compensation should not be ordered to be paid by the department to the appellant, within 21 days from the date of receipt of this order.

Sd/-

(M. Sridhar Acharyulu)
Central Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Dinesh Kumar)
Deputy Registrar

Copy of decision given to the parties free of cost.

Addresses of the parties:

1. The CPIO under RTI,
Sub Divisional Magistrate (Preet Vihar),
Dist-East, A-Block, L M Bund,
Shastri Nagar, Delhi-110031.

2. Shri Mohd. Kamil,
F-151, II Floor, West Jawahr Park,
Ramesh Park, Laxmi Nagar,
Delhi-110092.