

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Club building, Opposite Ber Sarai Market, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi- 110067.

Tel: 011 - 26182593/26182594

Email: registrycab@gmail.com

File No : CIC/RM/A/2014/001617

In the matter of:

Sh. Sgt Chaman Lal

S/o Shri Giri Raj Singh

Qtr No. 972/2, Visawa Complex,

Air Force Station Pune-14.

...Appellant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer

Directorate of Personnel Services,

Air Headquarters, Rafi Marg,

Vayu Bhawan,

New Delhi-110106.

..Respondent

Dates

RTI application	:	30.10.2013
CPIO reply	:	05.12.2013
First Appeal	:	31.12.2013
FAA Order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal	:	11.03.2014
Date of hearing	:	19.08.2016

Information sought:

The appellant had sought information on the following 7 points:

1. Name of the place(s) where the facility of Positron Emission Tomography (PET-CT in short) for evaluating health of cancer patients is located in so far as service hospitals are concerned.

2. Name of the place where the facility of functional artificial limb fitting for above knee amputee cases exists as far as service hospitals are concerned.
3. Number of cases which had been given more than seven years' posting tenure on extreme hard pressing condition/grounds be it of self or of dependents.
4. Whether a person on self medical grounds posting get posted to a place where all requisite facilities are available.
5. File notings related to the rejection of the appellant's application for posting at Pune based on medical grounds.
6. Medical category of how many leg/limb amputated cases have been upgraded to promotable medical category as A4G3 from then A4G4 (T/P) and are given select promotion through condonation board after June 2007.
7. Treatment required for appellant's right leg.

Grounds for Second Appeal

The CPIO did not provide the desired information.

Order

Appellant : **Shri Deepak, Son of the appellant**
Respondent : **PIO, Wng. Cdr., Anil Kumar**

During the hearing it was submitted by the representative of the appellant that information vide letter dated 05.12.13 in connection with the RTI application dated 30.10.2013 is not complete in regard to para 1(f) of the RTI application. The rest of the points were adequately replied to. Moreover the appellant stated that reply to point 1(a) was not correct as there have been exceptions known personally to the appellant & his family including his son who appeared before the Commission on his behalf. It was categorically stated by the appellant's representative that there have been three exceptions known personally to them in regard to the non denial in the cases of promotion of low category Airmen, after June, 2007 to higher category posts. The names of the Airmen (2) and also an officer who had thus been given promotion disregarding their low medical category were mentioned in the second appeal memo by the appellant.

The CPIO submitted that the reply furnished by his predecessor CPIO in regard to the point 1(a) of the RTI application dated 30.10.2013 was correct and that the FAA had also upheld this reply of the CPIO. There is no occasion to doubt the veracity of the information provided by the CPIO concerned to the appellant in this regard.

Be that as it may, the representative of the appellant (son of the appellant) vehemently pressed for relief in regard to the reply to para 1(a) of the RTI application. The CPIO was requested by the Commission to look into the case of grievance which was repeatedly raised by the appellant during the hearing. The CPIO agreed that in case the appellant who is a serving airman puts in an application enclosing the cases as quoted above with necessary details he would make every possible effort to check whether the information provided needed any amendment. As the information provided under the RTI Act was pointwise & complete, there is no need to interfere in the case.

In view of the above observation, the appeal is disposed of.

[Amitava Bhattacharyya]
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(A.K.Talapatra)
Dy. Registrar