

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Club building, Opposite Ber Sarai Market, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi- 110067.

Tel: 011 - 26182593/26182594

Email: registrycab@gmail.com

File No : CIC/RM/A/2014/001543+001544

In the matter of:

Sh. Rajesh Ramesh Polas
R/o 2038/A, Babajan Chowk,
Camp Pune-411001.

...Appellant

Vs.

Central Public information Officer
M/o Defence
The Chief Executive Officer, Pune
Cantonment Board, Near Golibar Maidan
Pune-411001.

..Respondent

Dates

RTI application	:	21.10.2013
CPIO reply	:	06.11.2013 & 01.11.2013
First Appeal	:	16.12.2013
FAA Order	:	18.01.2014
Second Appeal	:	01.04.2014
Date of hearing	:	07.09.2016

Both the RTI applications are related to the same subject matter, hence, these are clubbed and heard together.

Information sought:

The appellant wanted information relating to a defence property.

Grounds for Second Appeal

The CPIO did not provide the desired information.

Order

Appellant : **Present**

Respondent : **Absent**

The Commission took serious note of the respondent's absence in the hearing despite valid notice.

During the hearing the appellant submitted that he wanted information on property tax rates as levied on the particular property. The main grievance is that the property tax was levied as per the RBC Structure rate, Pune whereas in his case the construction of his property was of pre independence vintage ie it was made of lime, mortar, mud etc. Since this point was not explained in his RTI application, the reply furnished by the concerned CPIO regarding inviting him for inspection of relevant documents and obtaining copies of selected documents on the payment of prescribed copying charges, is just and proper.

The points raised in the second appeal by the appellant is in the nature of grievance and the RTI Act & the Commission is not the correct forum to redress such grievances.

With the above observation, the case is disposed of.

[Amitava Bhattacharyya]
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(A.K.Talapatra)
Dy. Registrar