

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/YA/A/2014/001487/SB

Dated 03.10.2016

Appellant : Shri Gohil Jagdishchandra Shamjibhai,
R. No. B-10, Plot No.BD-09, Survey No.157,
Shivasadan CHS Ltd.,
(Mhada/WBP Colony), Neelkanth Nagar,
Off. S. Sevantilal Khandwala Marg,
Charkop-Malwani Old Link Road,
Kandivali (West), Mumbai-400 067.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer (CIC),
Central Information Commission,
2nd Floor, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

Date of Hearing : 03.10.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 01.02.2014

CPIO's reply : 28.02.2014

First appeal filed on : 06.03.2014

FAA's order : 28.03.2014

Second appeal filed on : 07.05.2014

ORDER

1. Shri Gohil Jagdishchandra Shamjibhai filed an application dated 01.02.2014 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Central Information Commission (CIC) seeking information on five points regarding detailed status of his 2nd

Appeal filed on 06.09.2013, including (i) present status of his 2nd Appeal dated 06.09.2013, (ii) date of receipt with reference to Diary No. 165235 and (iii) how much time in terms of months/year(s) is required to prepare and allot file number of case for 2nd Appeal.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 07.05.2014 before the Commission on the grounds that the FAA disposed of his appeal without hearing him and the CPIO provided false and incorrect information on point (i) incorrect and insufficient information on point (iii) and incorrect, false and misleading information on point (iii), (iv) & (v) of his RTI application.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Gohil Jagdishchandra Shamjibhai was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri S.P. Beck, CPIO and Joint Secretary (Admin), CIC was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 28.02.2014. The respondent further submitted that the FAA vide order dated 28.03.2014 while upholding the reply of the CPIO, provided another copy of the CPIO's reply dated 28.02.2014. The respondent affirmed that there is no time limit prescribed in the Commission for allotting the file number of Second Appeal/Complaint.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of the respondent and perusing the records, observes that information has been provided to the appellant. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer