

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110067**  
**Tel : +91-11-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/RK/A/2016/001401**

Appellant: Mr. Deepchandra Sharma  
R/o. Ward No-14, Dataramgarh, Sikar-332703,  
Rajasthan, (7737024331).

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer/Addl. Registrar,  
P.M. Office, South, Block, New Delhi 110011.

Date of Hearing: 20.09.2016  
Dated of Decision: 20.09.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 05.01.2016 seeking information on four points viz copy of letter dated 16.12.2015 written by P.M Office to Shri Sanjeev Chadurvedi, officer of Indian Forest Service, copy of Rules regarding depositing of cheque in the Prime Minister Relief Fund, why his conditional cheque of Rs. One lac was deposited in the P.M Relief Fund, details of accounts in the name of Prime Minister etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 05.02.2016. The appellant filed first appeal on 07.03.2016 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 24.04.2016. The appellant filed second appeal on 30.05.2016 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing personally.
4. The appellant stated that he has not been provided information within the time frame as prescribed in the RTI Act. The appellant further stated that

he has not been provided the rules regarding depositing of conditional cheque in the Prime Minister Relief Fund.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant vide letter dated 28.04.2016 has been provided pointwise reply. The respondent stated that the detail of PM accounts and details of the letter of Shri Sanjeev Chaturvedi are personal information, the disclosure of which is exempted under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act.

6. The respondent stated that the first appellate authority vide its order dated 28.04.2016 had disposed of the first appeal having concurred with the findings of the CPIO.

**Discussion/ observation:**

7. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

**Decision:**

8. No action is required in the matter at the level of this Commission.

The appeal is disposed of. A copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar