

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/YA/A/2014/001395/SB

Decision No. CIC/YA/A/2014/001472/SB

Dated 10.10.2016

- Appellant** : Smt. R. Vaijayanthimala,
D/o Shri C. Rajamanickam,
No. 9/2, Kambar Street,
Krishna Nagar Extension,
Tolage, Vellore,
Tamil Nadu-623 001.
- Respondent** : Central Public Information Officer,
Central Information Commission,
2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place,
New Delhi-110066.
- Date of Hearing** : 10.10.2016

ORDER

1. Smt. R. Vaijayanthimala filed two second appeals in case Nos. CIC/YA/A/2014/001395 & 1472 in respect of identical RTI applications dated 25.11.2013. Both these appeals are being disposed of by this Order.

2. Smt. R. Vaijayanthimala filed two identical applications dated 25.11.2013 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) with the CPIO, Central Information Commission seeking information on three points pertaining to the Second Appeal dated 01.04.2013 sent by her to the Commission, including (i) the diary number assigned to her second appeal

dated 01.04.2013 received in CIC through RP No. RT/8466105 on 04.04.2013 and (ii) Xerox copy of communications so far sent to her and to the public authorities concerned.

2. Smt. R. Vaijayanthimala filed two identical second appeals dated 22.05.2015 on the grounds that no information has been provided to her and that the First Appellate Authority (FAA) too did not respond to her appeal. The appellant requested the Commission to order thorough verification to trace out her second appeal and direct the concerned CPIO to provide complete information as sought by her.

Hearing:

3. Shri Sunder Rajan representative of the appellant Smt. R. Vaijayanthimala attended the hearing through audio conferencing. The respondent Shri A.K. Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC and Shri T.K. Mohapatra, DR and CPIO, CIC were present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that he is seeking documents pertaining to the recovery proceedings instituted by the Union Bank of India against her from CPIO, Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT). However, the Public Authority concerned has failed to provide the information sought by him. The appellant further submitted that his second appeal had been heard by the Commission on 15.07.2014 vide Appeal No. CIC/SM/A/2013/000623/MP.

5. The respondent submitted that the CIC vide order dated 15.07.2014 had observed that the CPIO, DRT had provided the information as held by the public authority to the appellant. The Commission had also directed the CPIO, DRT to provide an opportunity for inspection of file to the appellant and to take copies of documents up to twenty pages free of cost after inspection. The respondent further submitted that the appellant wants to

know the status of her second appeal sent through Senior Superintendent of Post offices on 01.04.2013. The respondent further submitted that the said second appeal was diarized as Dy No. 126307 dated 12.04.2013 and registered as file no. CIC/SM/A/2013/000623. The said case was heard and decided on 15.07.2014 vide order no. CIC/SM/A/2013/000623/MP.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the parties and perusing the records, observes that the appellant is aware that his second appeal submitted through Senior Superintendent of those officer on 01.04.2013 has been heard and decided on 15.07.2014 vide order no. CIC/SM/A/2013/000623/MP. Hence, due information has been received by the appellant. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.
7. With the above observations the appeal is disposed of.
8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer